

Thurrock: A place of opportunity, enterprise and excellence, where individuals, communities and businesses flourish

Corporate Parenting Committee

The meeting will be held at **7.00 pm** on **5 July 2016**

Committee Room 1, Civic Offices, New Road, Grays, Essex, RM17 6SL.

Membership:

Councillors Bukky Okunade (Chair), Chris Baker, Jan Baker, Leslie Gamester, Martin Kerin, Susan Little, Sue MacPherson and Joycelyn Redsell

Natalie Carter, Thurrock Open Door Representative
Christina Day, Children in Care Council
Jackie Howell, Chair, The One Team, Foster Carer Association
Sharon Smith, Vice Chair, The One Team, Foster Carer Association

Substitutes:

Councillors Ben Maney, Aaron Watkins and Kevin Wheeler

Agenda

Open to Public and Press

	Page
1 Apologies for Absence	
2 Minutes	5 - 14
To approve as a correct record the minutes of the Corporate Parenting Committee meeting held on 3 March 2016.	
3 Items of Urgent Business	
To receive additional items that the Chair is of the opinion should be considered as a matter of urgency, in accordance with Section 100B (4) (b) of the Local Government Act 1972.	
4 Declaration of Interests	

5	Terms of Reference	15 - 16
6	Recent External Placements for Young People	17 - 22
7	Bank Accounts, Passports and Birth Certificates held by Looked After Children	23 - 28
8	Health of Looked After Children	29 - 34
9	Ofsted Inspection Report and Action Plan	35 - 90
10	Work Programme	91 - 92

Queries regarding this Agenda or notification of apologies:

Please contact Jenny Shade, Senior Democratic Services Officer by sending an email to Direct.Democracy@thurrock.gov.uk

Agenda published on: **27 June 2016**

Information for members of the public and councillors

Access to Information and Meetings

Members of the public can attend all meetings of the council and its committees and have the right to see the agenda, which will be published no later than 5 working days before the meeting, and minutes once they are published.

Recording of meetings

This meeting may be recorded for transmission and publication on the Council's website. At the start of the meeting the Chair will confirm if all or part of the meeting is to be recorded.

Members of the public not wishing any speech or address to be recorded for publication to the Internet should contact Democratic Services to discuss any concerns.

If you have any queries regarding this, please contact Democratic Services at Direct.Democracy@thurrock.gov.uk

Guidelines on filming, photography, recording and use of social media at council and committee meetings

The council welcomes the filming, photography, recording and use of social media at council and committee meetings as a means of reporting on its proceedings because it helps to make the council more transparent and accountable to its local communities.

If you wish to film or photograph the proceedings of a meeting and have any special requirements or are intending to bring in large equipment please contact the Communications Team at CommunicationsTeam@thurrock.gov.uk before the meeting. The Chair of the meeting will then be consulted and their agreement sought to any specific request made.

Where members of the public use a laptop, tablet device, smart phone or similar devices to use social media, make recordings or take photographs these devices must be set to 'silent' mode to avoid interrupting proceedings of the council or committee.

The use of flash photography or additional lighting may be allowed provided it has been discussed prior to the meeting and agreement reached to ensure that it will not disrupt proceedings.

The Chair of the meeting may terminate or suspend filming, photography, recording and use of social media if any of these activities, in their opinion, are disrupting proceedings at the meeting.

Thurrock Council Wi-Fi

Wi-Fi is available throughout the Civic Offices. You can access Wi-Fi on your device by simply turning on the Wi-Fi on your laptop, Smartphone or tablet.

- You should connect to TBC-CIVIC
- Enter the password **Thurrock** to connect to/join the Wi-Fi network.
- A Terms & Conditions page should appear and you have to accept these before you can begin using Wi-Fi. Some devices require you to access your browser to bring up the Terms & Conditions page, which you must accept.

The ICT department can offer support for council owned devices only.

Evacuation Procedures

In the case of an emergency, you should evacuate the building using the nearest available exit and congregate at the assembly point at Kings Walk.

How to view this agenda on a tablet device



You can view the agenda on your [iPad](#), [Android Device](#) or [Blackberry Playbook](#) with the free modern.gov app.

Members of the Council should ensure that their device is sufficiently charged, although a limited number of charging points will be available in Members Services.

To view any “exempt” information that may be included on the agenda for this meeting, Councillors should:

- Access the modern.gov app
- Enter your username and password

DECLARING INTERESTS FLOWCHART – QUESTIONS TO ASK YOURSELF

Breaching those parts identified as a pecuniary interest is potentially a criminal offence

Helpful Reminders for Members

- *Is your register of interests up to date?*
- *In particular have you declared to the Monitoring Officer all disclosable pecuniary interests?*
- *Have you checked the register to ensure that they have been recorded correctly?*

When should you declare an interest *at a meeting*?

- **What matters are being discussed at the meeting?** (including Council, Cabinet, Committees, Subs, Joint Committees and Joint Subs); or
- If you are a Cabinet Member making decisions other than in Cabinet **what matter is before you for single member decision?**



Does the business to be transacted at the meeting

- relate to; or
- likely to affect

any of your registered interests and in particular any of your Disclosable Pecuniary Interests?

Disclosable Pecuniary Interests shall include your interests or those of:

- your spouse or civil partner's
- a person you are living with as husband/ wife
- a person you are living with as if you were civil partners

where you are aware that this other person has the interest.

A detailed description of a disclosable pecuniary interest is included in the Members Code of Conduct at Chapter 7 of the Constitution. **Please seek advice from the Monitoring Officer about disclosable pecuniary interests.**

What is a Non-Pecuniary interest? – this is an interest which is not pecuniary (as defined) but is nonetheless so significant that a member of the public with knowledge of the relevant facts, would reasonably regard to be so significant that it would materially impact upon your judgement of the public interest.

Pecuniary

If the interest is not already in the register you must (unless the interest has been agreed by the Monitoring Officer to be sensitive) disclose the existence and nature of the interest to the meeting

If the Interest is not entered in the register and is not the subject of a pending notification you must within 28 days notify the Monitoring Officer of the interest for inclusion in the register

Unless you have received dispensation upon previous application from the Monitoring Officer, you must:

- Not participate or participate further in any discussion of the matter at a meeting;
- Not participate in any vote or further vote taken at the meeting; and
- leave the room while the item is being considered/voted upon

If you are a Cabinet Member you may make arrangements for the matter to be dealt with by a third person but take no further steps

Non- pecuniary

Declare the nature and extent of your interest including enough detail to allow a member of the public to understand its nature



You may participate and vote in the usual way but you should seek advice on Predetermination and Bias from the Monitoring Officer.

Vision: Thurrock: A place of **opportunity**, **enterprise** and **excellence**, where **individuals**, **communities** and **businesses** flourish.

To achieve our vision, we have identified five strategic priorities:

1. Create a great place for learning and opportunity

- Ensure that every place of learning is rated “Good” or better
- Raise levels of aspiration and attainment so that residents can take advantage of local job opportunities
- Support families to give children the best possible start in life

2. Encourage and promote job creation and economic prosperity

- Promote Thurrock and encourage inward investment to enable and sustain growth
- Support business and develop the local skilled workforce they require
- Work with partners to secure improved infrastructure and built environment

3. Build pride, responsibility and respect

- Create welcoming, safe, and resilient communities which value fairness
- Work in partnership with communities to help them take responsibility for shaping their quality of life
- Empower residents through choice and independence to improve their health and well-being

4. Improve health and well-being

- Ensure people stay healthy longer, adding years to life and life to years
- Reduce inequalities in health and well-being and safeguard the most vulnerable people with timely intervention and care accessed closer to home
- Enhance quality of life through improved housing, employment and opportunity

5. Promote and protect our clean and green environment

- Enhance access to Thurrock's river frontage, cultural assets and leisure opportunities
- Promote Thurrock's natural environment and biodiversity
- Inspire high quality design and standards in our buildings and public space

Minutes of the Meeting of the Corporate Parenting Committee held on 3 March 2016 at 7.00 pm

- Present:** Councillors Susan Little (Chair), Bukky Okunade (Vice-Chair), Leslie Gamester, James Halden, Steve Liddiard and Joycelyn Redsell (arrived 7.04)
- Natalie Carter, Thurrock Open Door Representative
Jackie Howell, Chair, The One Team, Foster Carer Association
Sharon Smith, Vice Chair, The One Team, Foster Carer Association
- Apologies:** Councillors James Baker, Clare Baldwin and Christina Day
- In attendance:** David Archibald, Interim Director of Children's Services
Andrew Carter, Head of Children's Social Care
Paul Coke, Service Manager (Children & Families)
Simon Shardlow, Interim Service Manager, Placement and Support
Paula McCullough, Commissioning Officer, Children's Services
Jenny Shade, Senior Democratic Services Officer
-

Before the start of the Meeting, all present were advised that the meeting may be filmed and was being recorded, with the audio recording to be made available on the Council's website.

25. Minutes

The Minutes of the Corporate Parenting Committee, held on the 3 December 2015, were approved as a correct record.

Councillor Okunade asked that her question on Education Results of Looked After Children be added to the minutes.

"Councillor Okunade asked the Officers how much help and support is given to young children in terms of forward planning and career guidance to ensure that care leavers were in education. The Officer stated that this would depend on the age of the child and their particular settings, but normally from years 9, 10 and 11 talks were undertaken regarding the child's aspirations for the future. From this point work is done on the pathway that the child would like to go down for further education and from year 10 talks would take place around visits to colleges, specific educational events and involvement of the key worker. From Year 11 a personal career plan would be drawn up for every student to identify their needs."

Councillor Halden asked that his request for information on the Recent External Placements for Young People item be added to the Minutes.

“Councillor Halden requested a breakdown of external placements that were made out of choice for placements outside of the borough against those placements made within the borough.”

The Chair asked Officers to report back on the Action Point raised at the December committee.

The Action was asked by Councillor Liddiard who asked the Officer to provide an average cost for Thurrock placements and a scaling for this against the lowest and highest average Looked After Placement costs nationally.

The Officer provided the committee with the following breakdown:

Contract Placements	-	Average Cost per week	£873.58
	-	Spot Purchase per week	£911.70

Therefore the average cost for a Thurrock Placement per week would be £879.42.

26. Items of Urgent Business

There were no items of urgent business.

27. Declaration of Interests

Councillor Halden declared a non-pecuniary interest as he had been interviewed as part of the Children’s Services Ofsted Inspection.

Councillor Okunade declared a non-pecuniary interest as he had been interviewed as part of the Children’s Services Ofsted Inspection.

Councillor Little declared a non-pecuniary interest as he had been interviewed as part of the Children’s Services Ofsted Inspection.

28. Health of Looked After Children

Patricia Perolls, Designated Nurse for Looked After Children, presented the report which focused on the most recent data that was available and the most recent Care Quality Commission inspection of Safeguarding and Looked After Children’s Health Service undertaken within Thurrock. The main key points highlighted were:

- Performance for completion of yearly Review Health Assessments has shown improvement on the previous year.
- A figure for recorded dental checks had improved from 84.2 per cent to 92 per cent.
- A figure for recorded immunisation records were also up from 57.8 per cent to 85 per cent.
- Improvements had been made to improve the health outcomes for Unaccompanied Asylum Seeking Young People.

Patricia Perolls stated that although improvements had been made it was hoped that these could also be improved further in the coming year.

The Looked After Children's Nurse for Thurrock would now be based within social care one day a week to support the Council and progress improvements in the collection of data.

Councillor Halden asked if the Initial Health Checks were carried out by general practitioners. Patricia Perolls confirmed that these checks were undertaken by community paediatricians and where it was felt that inferior quality health checks had been undertaken on out of borough placements had been referred back to the borough for completion.

Councillor Halden asked if this service was commissioned by the Clinical Commissioning Group and what monitoring would take place to ensure the service was being undertaken. Councillor Halden made reference to the Learning Disability Health Checks that was an agenda item on the Health and Wellbeing Overview and Scrutiny Committee.

Patricia Perolls confirmed that it was very important to monitor the Clinical Commissioning Groups performance and this was monitored by Key Performance Indicators on a quarterly basis.

Councillor Halden asked how the 83.3 per cent of checks that had been carried out compared to the Council's neighbouring boroughs. Patricia Perolls confirmed that she did not have this information to hand but agreed to produce a report on this and let Members have a copy.

Councillor Redsell asked whether the health work undertaken by Thurrock Council to ensure parental health history was available was already being done. Patricia Perolls confirmed that this was the case but information was very reliant on parents willing to share birth history. It was also confirmed that training of staff on health assessments were essential due to the more complex and emotional cases that were being dealt with.

Councillor Okunade stated how pleased she was with the immunisation figures and asked how the out of borough Looked After Children were being monitored. The Officer confirmed that co-ordination is undertaken by the Looked After Children's Team with the out of borough Nurse and that assessments were being quality assured on a 3 monthly basis. Any concerns by the local nurse were referred back to the Designated Nurse for Looked After Children.

Patricia Perolls confirmed that plans were in place to undertake quality assurance visits to out of borough Looked After Children.

Councillor Little stated that Sight Tests were also an important part of a child's health care and that this should be made part of the health assessment.

Jackie Howell stated that Looked After Children attend yearly sight tests unless there were problems which they would then attend every 3 months, it is also the responsibility of the foster carer to ensure these checks were undertaken.

Councillor Halden stated that some local general practitioners were under immense pressure and what confidence was there that Looked After Children's problems were prominent in general practitioners mind, especially those single handed general practitioners who, in some instances, were opting for retirement rather than being inspected by the Clinical Commissioning Group.

Patricia Perolls confirmed that under Section 11 Audit there were aspects that general practitioners have the knowledge of Looked After Children and that when Patricia Perolls started her role she visited all practices within the borough. A booklet on Primary Care Resource Pack regarding Looked After Children had been provided to each Thurrock practice. Information and regular updates were sent and all contact details were available.

Councillor Redsell stated that further information could have been provided on the feedback provided on the report from the Care Quality Commission, especially around some explanation regarding the age of the child.

Sharon Smith stated that Looked After Children were accompanied by Foster Carers at appointments and they were seen by the paediatrician and not the nurse.

Andrew Carter stated that although the report was positive he questioned the timeliness of health assessments and urged the Committee to keep close scrutiny on the timeliness of all health assessments.

Councillor Okunade asked for clarification on how different initial health assessments were undertaken on unaccompanied asylum seekers.

Andrew Carter confirmed that unaccompanied asylum seekers were treated no differently although in between the health assessments, age assessments may need to be undertaken.

Councillor Redsell asked if a Looked After Child was diagnosed with TB would the placement be stopped. Andrew Carter confirmed that very few cases had been diagnosed and that this would not stop any placement from happening.

RESOLVED

- 1. That the Members of the Corporate Parenting Committee were asked to scrutinise this report.**
- 2. That the Health of Looked After Children Report be added to the Corporate Parenting work programme in the next municipal year.**

29. Recent External Placements for Young People

The Officer presented the report which briefed Members on the range of issues regarding the placement choices made for Looked After Children for the period November 2015 to January 2016.

The Officer referred members to comparison data within the report which included:

- The increase in the number of Looked After Children in the period November 2015 to January 2016.
- The increase to 85 unaccompanied asylum seeking children making Thurrock the third highest area in the Eastern Region with the number of unaccompanied asylum children.
- The 16+ age group is the busiest area of activity of those Looked After Children entering and ceasing to be looked after.
- The breakdown by age of children becoming looked after within this period.
- The aim to keep a looked after child within a 20 mile radius from their birth home.

Councillor Little asked Officers to look at the criteria on how the 20 mile radius is calculated.

Councillor Halden requested again for a breakdown of external placements that were made out of choice to be placed outside of the borough rather than a making that placement within the borough. This was an action point that Officers would take away and report back on.

A discussion took place between Members, Co-Optee Members and Officers on the involvement of the Children in Care Council to undertake a short survey on feedback about placements.

It was agreed that this survey may encourage all Looked After Children to engage.

The discussion was encouraged by all Members and would provide them with feedback on how Looked After Children felt about their placements.

Councillor Okunade also stated that consideration and care would need to be given to the type of questions asked and the survey would not be undertaken to monitor their placement.

Councillor Halden agreed that the questions would need to be professional and with no leading questions.

Councillor Gamester stated that transcripts could be used if this would be the preferred option to get feedback.

Councillor Little stated that graphs could be used to monitor how a Looked After Child was feeling.

It was felt that as good corporate parents, Members should be aware of any issues that arise in placements.

Councillor Redsell asked for clarification on the reasons why the number of 0-5 year olds entering and ceasing to be looked after in this period. The Officer confirmed that the exact reasons were not to hand but this was likely that the child had returned home, been adopted or under special guardianship.

RESOLVED

- 1. That the Members of the Corporate Parenting Committee review the efforts made by Officers to choose appropriate resources for Looked After Children, including our more difficult to place children.**
- 2. That the Health of Looked After Children Report be added to the Corporate Parenting work programme in the next municipal year.**

30. Children in Care Council and the Voice of the Child

The Officer presented the report which updated Members on the functioning of the Children in Care Council and its actions in representing the voice of the child for Looked After Children and Care Leavers.

The Children in Care Council was transferred to Open Door in February 2015 with 15 active members, a chair and vice-chair who attend monthly meetings, training and planning days. The project works with young people between the ages of 8 to 25 who were looked after or have been looked after and who were entitled to an after care service.

Open Door works within the National Advocacy Standards and as such is led by the young people and is known as the Young People Looked After Project.

Councillor Little asked Jackie Howell and Sharon Smith if their foster children were aware of the advocacy service and it was confirmed that they were very aware and that children were actually being mentored by Open Door.

Councillor Redsell applauded Joseph Kaley who attended Full Council in February on the item of the Children in Care Pledge and asked if there were Open Door representatives at schools. Sharon Smith confirmed that several schools had Open Door representatives present.

Jackie Howell commented that schools were deemed by some Looked After Children as safe places and not necessarily the place to talk about their situation with other children.

Sharon Smith stated that the safeguarding training undertaken by Thurrock Council is very good for foster carers to understand the importance and awareness of young people using Facebook.

Councillor Okunade thanked Natalie Carter for the event held in February and asked how the nominations were made. Natalie Carter stated that all nominations were made by social workers and foster carers.

Councillor Little stated unfortunately she was unaware of the event and would have like to have attended. Natalie Carter apologised and thought that all Members had been invited.

David Archibald commented that at the last local authority he worked for the Children in Care Council attended the Corporate Parenting Committee where an item was allocated to them on the agenda to provide Members with feedback and this proved very popular with Members.

It was agreed by all Members that this would be a good idea and the Chair commented that if it worked for another authority it should work for Thurrock.

The Officer stated that this could work if the planning and communication was in hand and that the consideration of timings of the committee would need to be addressed to ensure that the committee did not start too late.

It was agreed that the dates of the Children in Care meetings were circulated to all Members.

RESOLVED

- 1. That the Corporate Parenting Committee supported the role of the Children in Care Council.**
- 2. That the Councillors and Senior Officers attend the Children in care Council meetings when invited.**
- 3. That the Corporate Parenting Committee support and endorse the role of the Young People's Project.**

31. Children In Care Pledge Update

Natalie Carter presented the report and referred Members to the following changes to the Pledge:

- Social workers would visit at least 12 times a year
- Access to life story work in conjunction with Thurrock's life story policy
- Social workers to give young people their contact details such as email, mobile telephone number
- Respect your privacy when possible
- Seek your viewed about contact arrangements
- Support in opening back account/savings account

- Provide with identification such as passport, birth certificate or alternative identification
- Support to have access to your files.

Councillor Halden asked if there were any other amendments discussed but discarded. Natalie Carter confirmed that only 8 amendments were received by the Children in Care Council.

Councillor Little asked what happened to money saved once a child leaved care. The Officer confirmed that in a majority of cases the money goes with the child but there have been incidents where this has not been as smooth as it should have been and that this would be monitored.

Councillor Redsell stated it was encouraging to see young people opening bank accounts and learning the benefits of savings.

The Officer stated that financial top up is available for Looked After Children who attend university but it would be that expectation that all Looked After Children would seek employment, apprenticeships or attend further education once reached the age of 18.

Councillor Redsell stated that it should also be the school's ownership to teach young people to save and the importance of money.

Jackie Howell stated that evidence of savings for her foster children would be available for her supporting social worker to see at supervision.

Sharon Smith stated that those independent looked after children may request help if and when claiming benefits and that each child should be dealt with on an individual basis.

Councillor Little asked how younger people were expected to manage their savings. The Officer stated that this was undertaken by savings accounts, junior ISAs or even having a piggy-bank.

Councillor Little asked Officers how easy it would be for those Looked After Children placed outside the borough to have access to their families. The Officer stated that contact meetings would be arranged depending on the needs of the child and the cost of public transport is covered by the Council.

RESOLVED

- 1. That the Corporate Parenting Committee supported the amended Pledge.**
- 2. That the Corporate Parenting Committee agreed that the Pledge is monitored and reviewed by the Children in Care Council (CiCC) on a yearly basis and a report provided to the Committee.**

3. That the Corporate Parenting Committee agreed that a review of the Pledge's content by the CiCC would take place every two years.

32. Emotional Well Being and Mental Health Services for Vulnerable Groups including Looked After Children

33. Work Programme

It was agreed that the next municipal year work programme for the Corporate Parenting Committee would include:

- That the item on Passports and Bank Accounts held by Looked After Children be added to the work programme.
- That the item on the Health of Looked After Children be added to the work programme.
- That the item on Recent External Placement Survey with Children in Care Council Representatives be added to the work programme.
- That the item on Emotional Wellbeing and Mental health Service be added to the work programme.

The meeting finished at 8.50 pm

Approved as a true and correct record

CHAIR

DATE

Any queries regarding these Minutes, please contact Democratic Services at Direct.Democracy@thurrock.gov.uk

This page is intentionally left blank

Corporate Parenting Committee Terms of Reference

3.	CORPORATE PARENTING COMMITTEE	
Appointed by: The Council under section 102 of the Local Government Act 1972	Number of Elected Members: Eight	
Chair and Vice-Chair appointed by: The Council	Political Proportionality: The elected Members shall be appointed in accordance with Political Proportionality	
Quorum: Three elected Members	Co-opted Members to be appointed by Council: (i) A nominated representative from Open Door (ii) Chair and/or Vice-Chair of the Children in Care Council (iii) Chair of the Foster Carers Association (iv) Vice-Chair of the Foster Carers Association	
<p>Functions determined by Council:</p> <p>1. Purpose</p> <p>1.1 The Committee exists to ensure that all elements of the Council work together to ensure that the children looked after by Thurrock get the best possible service that can be offered.</p> <p>1.2 The Committee will serve to aid elected members to drive the improvement of the service forward including by interacting with the looked after children.</p> <p>1.3 The Committee will monitor, review and update the looked after children strategy.</p> <p>1.4 In carrying out this role, the Committee has set as its Mission Statement:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“We want to ensure that all children looked after by Thurrock Council are given the same opportunities, the same level of support and given the same amount of attention as would be given to our own children. We acknowledge that because children looked after have often had very difficult and damaging experiences in their lives, at times they need extra support and resources in order that they can benefit from the same opportunities as other children in our community as well as ensuring that young people have access and knowledge of their elected members who run the authority for the LAC and therefore give them a voice”.</p> <p>2. Objectives</p> <p>2.1 To champion and promote the best interests of all children and young people who are, have been in care or may be at risk of becoming looked after children, particularly in relation to the Following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Health and well-being • Ability to stay safe • Ability to enjoy and achieve • Ability and opportunity to make a positive contribution • Achievement of economic well-being 		

- 2.2 To promote the role of all councillors as corporate parents and provide the robust vehicle for their mandate to be exercised on behalf of young people
- 2.3 To bring together members/officers/representatives of partner agencies with corporate parenting responsibilities, with the Chair having discretion to extend invitations to attend meetings of the Committee as appropriate.
- 2.4 To routinely examine the performance data relating to looked after children and, as necessary, seek to inquire into those areas where performance is poor or of concern.
- 2.5 To explore the extent to which the Council as a whole is contributing to meeting the needs of looked after children and to identify and pursue areas where there could be improvement.
- 2.6 To receive effective 'preventative' and qualitative information in order to hold senior officers to account in relation to meeting the needs and improving the outcomes of looked after children.
- 2.7 To oversee the strategy for looked after children and ensure that the various elements within it are being delivered in a holistic and comprehensive way by all responsible.
- 2.8 To identify and celebrate the achievements of individual children and young people who are or who have been looked after.
- 2.9 To see the service shaped and influenced by those it serves i.e. young people.
- 2.10 To prepare an annual report on the work of the Committee for consideration by the Council.
- 2.11 To make recommendations to the relevant executive decision maker where responsibility for that particular function rests with the executive.
- 2.12 To report to the relevant scrutiny committee any matter which it believes that committee should give consideration to.
- 2.13 The Corporate Parenting Committee shall be responsible for setting its own work programme, taking into account the wishes and preferences of the members of the Committee, together with any suggestions from Officers of the Council for particular topics to be considered.

Matters reserved for decision

There are no specific matters reserved to this committee.

The reason for this is that the role and function of the committee is to review and monitor the council's role as a corporate parent. This involves the participation of members on a cross political group committee. However any specific actions the committee might identify as necessary would be executive functions and need to be taken by a cabinet decision maker, in accordance with cabinet responsibility for functions.

Functions determined by Statute

5 July 2016	ITEM: 6
Corporate Parenting Committee	
Recent External Placements for Young People	
Wards and communities affected: All	Key Decision: Non-Key
Report of: Paul Coke, Service Manager, Through Care Services	
Accountable Head of Service: Andrew Carter, Children’s Social Care	
Accountable Director: Rory Patterson, Director of Children’s Services	
This report is Public	

Executive Summary

This report updates members of the Committee on a range of issues regarding the placement choices made for looked after children

1. Recommendation(s)

1.1 That the members of the Committee scrutinize the efforts made by officers to choose appropriate resources for looked after children, including our more difficult to place children.

2. Introduction and Background

2.1 Reports for previous meetings of the Corporate Parenting Committee have provided elected members with some detailed information about the placement choices being made by officers for looked after children. These reports have included information on new external placements made in the period immediately preceding them and commented on a number of the presenting issues which influence decision making.

2.2 This report will focus on the period of 1 February 2016 – 31 May 2016

2.3 The numbers of looked after children in Thurrock is detailed in a comparative table below – **Table 1:**

	Apr	May	Jun	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar
2016/17	342	341										
2015/16	280	285	295	303	313	320	330	332	344	334	335	338
2014/15	287	293	292	297	302	299	294	293	290	286	276	282

2.4 For the month of May 2016, the comparative rates per 10,000 is as follows:

- National Rate: 60.0
- Statistical Neighbours: 66.4
- Thurrock Rate: 85.0

2.5 For the month of May 2016, the comparative rates per 10,000 without the numbers of unaccompanied asylum seeking children for Thurrock is:

- Thurrock Rate: 64.3

2.6 The numbers in age groups entering and ceasing care during the period of 1 February 2016 – 31 May 2016 are as follows – **Table 2**:

AGE GROUP	ENTER	CEASE
0-5	13	9
6-11	6	8
12-15	17	7
16+	26	5

2.7 As of 31 May 2016 we had 341 looked after children (0-17). The breakdown of this in terms of placement type is as follows – **Table 3**

Age of child	In house Fostering	Independent Fostering	Residential	Other	Total by age
Under 1	5	5	1		11
1 – 5	9	7	2	2	20
6 - 11	20	36	6	8	70
12 – 15	30	52	12	6	100
16+	14	35	18	60	127
Total by provision type	78	135	39	76	328

2.8 The total number of children in **Table 3** is 328. There are 13 children that are currently on Placement Orders in various stages of the adoption process.

2.9 It is important to define what we mean by In house fostering, Independent Fostering, Residential and other.

2.10

	In House Fostering	Independent Fostering	Residential	Other
Placement Type	All children & young people placed with foster carers approved by the local authority.	All children & young people placed with foster carers approved by independent fostering agencies & other local authorities.	All children & young people placed: Children's homes Residential Care Home Residential School	All children & young people placed: With parents or family Independent living YOI/Prison Supported/semi supported for our 16+
Placement Provider	Provision owned by Local Authority	Provision is: private or voluntary/third sector	Provision is: Own provision Private Voluntary/third sector	Provision is: Parents or others Other public provision Local Authority Private Voluntary/third sector

3. Issues, Options and Analysis of Options

- 3.1 From the information contained in **Table 1** you can see that the numbers of looked after children have continued to rise.
- 3.2 It is important to note the information in 2.4 and 2.5 as this will give you a contextual explanation for our numbers. If we take out the number of unaccompanied asylum seeking children our rate per 10,000 is below our statistical neighbours but slightly above the national figure.
- 3.3 The issue regarding unaccompanied asylum seeking children is being addressed at a government and regional level, whereby it is hoped that a new Transfer Protocol will ensure that these children/young people will be evenly distributed amongst all Councils across the country. It is hoped that this will be a voluntary arrangement across the country, but will also form part of the new Immigration Act 2016.
- 3.4 At a local level, due to the complexities and capacity of working with unaccompanied asylum seeking children the Department is currently exploring a number of options in terms of how this is managed within the current social work teams and the accommodation of the young people, especially those 16 and over.

- 3.5 In respect to Table 2, it is clear from the figures that the Department receives a large proportion of its looked after children from the ages of 12 upwards. This cohort, which includes the 16+ cohort as well, appear to remain in care longer, which could suggest that moving the children/young people to alternative permanent placements with family members (ie placement with family members or Special Guardianship Orders, or return home to parents) is not as expedient as with the younger cohort. We again would need to take into account the unaccompanied asylum seeking children.
- 3.6 A particular focus in the Looked After Children's Surgeries is those cases where rehabilitation home is the primary plan. This will enable the Department to manage these cases, ensure there is no drift and use the forum for challenge where appropriate. This will also ensure our throughput of children and young people increases.
- 3.7 The local authority continues to scrutinise and challenge where necessary all placements through the Placement Panel. The Head of Service has to approve all residential placements, and there are a number of other Panels that ensure management oversight is consistent throughout the process, such as Threshold Panel, which addresses the request for children and young people to come into care, chaired by the Head of Service along with other senior managers.
- 3.8 Children In Need and Child Protection surgeries, chaired by the respective Service Managers ensure there is no drift in respect to cases.
- 3.9 The Permanency Panel addresses permanency plans for our looked after children, thus identifying those young children who may require adoption as their primary plan.
- 3.10 In respect to Table 3, I would like to draw you to the number of Independent Fostering Agency placements, which are the bulk of our placements (135). The other area of note is the use of 'other', which is the supported/semi-supported accommodation for our 16+ cohort.

Due to the rise in this number of young people that we have placed in supported/semi-supported accommodation (16+), the Department is exploring alternative avenues via our Commissioning Service as to how we get the best value from the providers both in terms of quality of care and cost.

4. Reasons for Recommendation

- 4.1 It is hoped that members of the Committee will continue to find this information useful in developing their understanding of the issues involved. Officers accept there is a very real challenge in balancing the need to find the best possible placement option for children and young people, whilst simultaneously working within the financial resources available.

5. Consultation (including Overview and Scrutiny, if applicable)

5.1 None

6. Impact on corporate policies, priorities, performance and community impact

6.1 None

7. Implications

7.1 Financial

Implications verified by: **Kay Goodacre**
Finance Manager

The numbers of looked after children in this report have increased. This inevitably will have an impact on cost, and from this report the Officers continually address this through the various forums mentioned in this report. All placements are reviewed for quality and cost and best value decisions are made. The impact of external placements continues to be a significant budget pressure for the service and continue to be a focus for future cost reduction.

7.2 Legal

Implications verified by: **Lindsey Marks**
Principal Solicitor Children's Safeguarding

It is important to note that whilst the Local Authority continues to scrutinise all placements it also has to be aware of its duties under the Children Act 1989, which must be the focus on the best interest of each child, especially when exploring placements.

7.3 Diversity and Equality

Implications verified by: **Natalie Warren**
**Community and Development Equalities
Manager**

When scrutinising the residential placements the Local Authority must ensure it also considers the needs of each individual child/young person, which includes their religious, language and disability to ensure these placements meet all their needs on a holistic level.

7.4 **Other implications** (where significant) – i.e. Staff, Health, Sustainability, Crime and Disorder)

Placements have to also take into consideration the experience and quality of staff, health and safety issues within each placement and that all the providers used are aware of their duties within the Crime and Disorder legislation.

Providers whether regulated or not must also be aware of their responsibilities when it comes to child protection issues.

8. **Background papers used in preparing the report** (including their location on the Council's website or identification whether any are exempt or protected by copyright):

- Not applicable

9. **Appendices to the report**

- Not applicable

Report Author:

Paul Coke

Service Manager, Through Care

Children's Services, Care and Targeted Outcomes

5 July 2016	ITEM: 7
Corporate Parenting Committee	
Bank Accounts, Passports and Birth Certificates held by Looked After Children	
Wards and communities affected: All	Key Decision: Non-Key
Report of: Paul Coke – Service Manager, Through Care Services	
Accountable Head of Service: Andrew Carter, Children’s Social Care (CATO)	
Accountable Director: Rory Patterson, Director of Children’s Services	
This report is Public	

Executive Summary

This report updates members of the Committee in respect to bank accounts, passports and birth certificates for our looked after children.

1. Recommendation(s)

1.1 Corporate Parenting Committee to monitor this area to ensure there is continual improvement in partnership with officers and the children in care council.

2. Introduction and Background

2.1 As part of good practice at a local level, the Local Authority is of the view that every child/young person should when they become looked after have access to or be provided with a birth certificate and passport.

2.2 The Children’s IT System, (Liquid Logic Children’s System, LCS) is able to record the relevant details of a child’s/young person’s passport and birth certificate details on their file.

2.3 A request was made by the Corporate Parenting Committee to provide an update as to how the Local Authority is ensuring that looked after children have passports and birth certificates. .

3. Issues, Options and Analysis of Options

3.1 Issues

- 3.1.1 The Department continues to make progress in ensuring all looked after children have the appropriate documentation that enables them to have identification (and ability to open a bank account), such as birth certificate and passport.
- 3.1.2 It was clear that information regarding these documents was not always recorded in the right place, thus did not provide a true picture of how many children/young people had them.
- 3.1.3 This continues to be a challenge, but due to our recruitment of newly qualified social workers we now have a more stable staff team that are making improvements in this area, albeit slowly.
- 3.1.4 The team administrators are supporting the social workers with the task of inputting the passport and birth certificate data into the LCS System.
- 3.1.5 Parents and carers are requested to provide birth certificates and passports at the point that children become looked after.
- 3.1.6 As a Senior Manager I chair a Permanency Planning Meeting for all looked after children between their first and second looked after children's review (within four months of a child becoming looked after). This enables the Department to ensure there is no drift in the permanency planning for our looked after children and that we are clear in the direction of travel the care plan is heading.
- 3.1.7 The looked after population within Thurrock has increased, especially the numbers of our unaccompanied asylum seeking children. The Department currently works with 85- 90 unaccompanied asylum seeking children who are looked after.
- 3.1.8 At the time of writing this report the Department had 336 children/young people looked after. The increased numbers have added to the challenge in this area.
- 3.1.9 Excluding unaccompanied asylum seeking children and children who have been looked after for less than 4 week:
- **155** children & young people have birth certificates and passports
 - **83** children and young people have been identified who do not have both birth certificates and passports.
 - **85** Unaccompanied Asylum Seeking Children with no birth certificates and or passports. This cohort will have an Application Registration Card (ARC Card) that is given to them by the Home Office.

- 3.1.10 I have identified those young people who are within the 83 cohort and discussed the matter with the respective team managers, who are now addressing this with the social workers concerned.
- 3.1.11 I have discussed the issue of bank accounts with Essex Savers, Credit Union, who have a number of outlets within the Essex area.
- 3.1.12 In order to set up a Savings Account, the young people will require 3 types of documentation, which will provide the following information
- Proof of age
 - Proof of address
 - Nationality
- 3.1.13 A challenge will be providing documentation for our unaccompanied asylum seeking children. As most of them come with no ID it is very difficult to obtain birth certificates or passports, which in turn makes it difficult to open bank accounts.
- 3.1.14 Some young people do have various forms of identification which again is difficult to verify its authenticity, but we can use the ID from the Home Office as proof of age and a letter from Social Care to verify their address.
- 3.1.15 The cost of opening an account is £3.00. Essex Savers are willing to explore what they can do in terms of savings accounts for our unaccompanied asylum seeking children.

4. Reasons for Recommendation

- 4.1 As part of good practice, the department should ensure that our looked after children/young people have the relevant documentation for identity purposes but also for when they transition into adulthood.

5. Consultation (including Overview and Scrutiny, if applicable)

N/A

6. Impact on corporate policies, priorities, performance and community impact

N/A

7. Implications

7.1 Financial

Implications verified by: **Kay Goodacre**
Finance Manager - Children and Adults

This is a necessary requirement for our looked after children/young people and is part of the current budget within the Through Care Services.

7.2 Legal

Implications verified by: **Lindsey Marks**
Principal Solicitor Children's Safeguarding

The Local Authority has a duty to provide services to our looked after children/young people and having the proper documentation is good practice and important for all in terms of identification and transition to adulthood.

7.3 Diversity and Equality

Implications verified by: **Natalie Warren**
**Community and Development Equalities
Manager**

It is important where possible that regardless of race, religion, culture, disability, sexual orientation, all looked after children/young people should be provided with the correct documentation that confirms their identity and enables them to transition into adulthood.

7.4 Other implications (where significant) – i.e. Staff, Health, Sustainability, Crime and Disorder)

N/A

8. Background papers used in preparing the report (including their location on the Council's website or identification whether any are exempt or protected by copyright):

N/A

9. Appendices to the report

N/A

Report Author:

Paul Coke

Service Manager, Through Care

Children's Services, Care and Targeted Outcomes

This page is intentionally left blank

5 July 2016	ITEM: 8
Corporate Parenting Committee	
Health of Looked After Children	
Wards and communities affected: All	Key Decision:
Report of: Patricia Perolls, Designated Nurse for Looked after Children	
Accountable Head of Service: Andrew Carter, Head of Care and Targeted Outcomes	
Accountable Director: Rory Patterson, Director of Children’s Services	
This report is Public	

Executive Summary

The local health economy recognises that the health of looked after children is everyone’s responsibility and is reliant on strong partnership working to achieve optimum health for every child and young person.

1. Recommendation(s)

1.1 The members of the Corporate Parenting Committee are asked to challenge this report, and drive improvements in health provision for looked after children.

2. Introduction and Background

2.1 This report provided by Designated Nurse for Looked after Children for Thurrock Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) informs the Corporate Parenting Panel about the health of children and young people who meet the criteria as Looked after Children by Thurrock Council.

2.2 The report will update the corporate parenting Committee of the work undertaken to reduce health inequalities and improve the outcomes of Looked after Children, and it will update the committee on some of the challenges that the service has faced.

2.3 The reports will also update the committee on the progress made in relation to the timeliness of health assessments for Looked after Children.


- 2.4 The report will consider whether Looked after Children, have access to universal health services that are appropriate and immediate to meet their needs, in particular those requiring medical treatment . CCG's and NHS England are also required to focus on ensuring that universal services as well as targeted and specialist services such as Child and Adolescent mental health services are available where necessary.
- 2.5 The report will inform the committee on the most recent data in relation to the health of Looked after Children including information regarding vision tests for looked after children as requested at the last meeting.

3. Issues Options and Analysis of Options

3.1 Vision Checks

3.1.1 At the last corporate parenting Committee a request was made for more information regarding vision tests for Thurrock Looked after Children. The Designated Nurse for Looked after Children reviewed the health records for 66 looked after Children (approximately 1/3rd of the Children in the care of Thurrock). The young people were selected in alphabetical order. 33 of the children were living within the area and 33 living out of Thurrock.

3.1.2 Findings are shown in table form below:



3.1.3 The information for all children was taken from local health records. Information for children placed out of area regarding vision checks is not always readily available to access. This does not mean that children have not had eye tests.

3.1.4 Information from the local authority was not included in this survey. It is very likely that the local authority have this information as recent data from them indicates that 90% of Looked after children on the government cohort for data collection have had a vision test.

3.1.5 Less than 1/3rd of Looked after Children in the sample wore glasses.

3.1.6 The eye problems that had been identified were receiving on-going treatment.

3.2 Care Quality Commission Recommendations for Looked after Children's Health Services.

3.2.1 Since the Care Quality Commission reviewed the health component of safeguarding and looked after children services in Thurrock in October 15 the Clinical Commissioning Group and its provider organisations have worked on the action plan produced.

3.2.2 Progress has been made in relation to the timeliness of initial health assessments although there is still some delay where children are placed out of the local authority and where it is not possible to bring them back to Thurrock for a health assessment. Progress has also been made in the timeliness of review health assessments which should be reflected in the next publication of Looked after Children data in December 16.

3.2.3 Dr Band, Designated Doctor for Looked after Children has met with the Thurrock GP's and Practice Nurses at a recent GP time to learn session. Dr Band spoke to the GP's in relation to the health needs of looked after children and in the coming year will also be delivering some training on the health needs of unaccompanied asylum seeking young people as recommended by Care Quality Commission.

3.2.4 The CQC action plan was last reviewed in April 2016 and provider organisations are progressing each of the recommendations.

3.3 Emotional Wellbeing and Mental Health Services(EWMHS)

3.3.1 As the new Emotional Wellbeing and Mental Health Service imbeds issues have been highlighted in relation to Looked after Children and the delays in the assessments and treatment. The children's commissioners across Greater Essex are aware of these difficulties and have regular meetings with the Provider. The Designated LAC Nurse also attends local meetings with the local authority and the Emotional Wellbeing and mental health service and issues for Looked after Children around EWMHS are escalated.

4. Reasons for Recommendation

4.1 To ensure members are adequately informed of the challenges and successes in delivering appropriate health care to looked after children.

5. Consultation (including Overview and Scrutiny, if applicable)

None

6. Impact on corporate policies, priorities, performance and community impact

6.1 The content of this report is compatible with Health and Well Being Strategy Priority 12: *Provide outstanding services for children in care and leaving care*

7. Implications

7.1 Financial

Implications verified by: Kay Goodacre
Consultant, Corporate Finance

There are no immediate Financial Implications arising from this report.

7.2 Legal

Implications verified by: **Lindsey Marks**
Principal Solicitor Children's Safeguarding

There are no immediate legal implications arising from this report.

7.3 Diversity and Equality

Implications verified by: **Natalie Warren**
**Community Development and Equalities
Manager**

The significant Equality and Diversity implications arising from this report stem from the need for carers to have awareness of medical conditions which disproportionately affect different sectors of the community, such as Sickle Cell Trait, as well as professionals generally recognising both the physical and emotional needs of Unaccompanied Asylum Seeking young people.

7.4 Other implications (where significant) – i.e. Staff, Health, Sustainability, Crime and Disorder)

None

8. **Background papers used in preparing the report** (including their location on the Council's website or identification whether any are exempt or protected by copyright):

None

9. **Appendices to the report**

None

Report Author:

Tricia Perolls

Designated Nurse for Looked after Children

Thurrock CCG

This page is intentionally left blank

5 July 2016	ITEM: 9
Corporate Parenting Committee	
Ofsted Inspection Report and Action Plan	
Wards and communities affected: All	Key Decision: To monitor Action Plan
Report of: Andrew Carter, Head of Children’s Social Care	
Accountable Head of Service: Andrew Carter, Children’s Social Care (CATO)	
Accountable Director: Rory Patterson, Director of Children’s Services	
This report is Public	

Executive Summary

This covering report provides members with an opportunity to scrutinize the outcomes of the recent Ofsted Inspection, the recommendations from the inspection and draft Action Plan to address the recommendations.

1. Recommendation(s)

1.1 Corporate Parenting Committee to monitor the progress of the council in implementing the recommendations of the Ofsted Inspection Report, particularly in relation to children looked after and care leavers.

2. Introduction and Background

2.1 All local authorities in England are inspected under the Single Inspection Framework (SIF) within a three-year period. The Children’s Safeguarding Board is inspected at the same time. The Ofsted inspection of services for children in need of help and protection, children looked after and care leavers took place between 22.2.16 – 17.3.16. The full report of the inspection is attached to this report as Appendix 1.

2.2 In response to the recommendations of the Ofsted Report the department has drafted a detailed action plan. The action plan is attached to this report as Appendix 2.

3. Issues, Options and Analysis of Options

3.1 Services to children, young people and families in Thurrock were judged to ‘Require Improvement’ by Ofsted.

- 3.2 Ofsted stated in their report that ‘children and young people were found to be safe in Thurrock during this inspection, with none identified who were at immediate risk of significant harm without plans and services being in place to reduce these risks and to meet their needs’.
- 3.3 Ofsted has made 16 recommendations in relation to practice improvements that are required in Thurrock. The 16 recommendations can be found at page 7 & 8 of the Ofsted Report.
- 3.4 A one page summary of the report for children and young people can be found at page 9 of the Ofsted Report.
- 3.5 The local authority is required to prepare and publish a written statement of the action it intends to take in response to the report. It should send a copy of this to Ofsted within 70 working days of receiving the final report. A detailed draft action plan has been completed by the department. Having read the Ofsted Report in full, members are invited to comment on the action plan before it is finalised.

4. Reasons for Recommendation

- 4.1 The Corporate Parenting Committee to be satisfied that the recommendations from the Ofsted Inspection Report are being fully and effectively implemented.

5. Consultation

N/A

6. Impact on corporate policies, priorities, performance and community impact

The completed action plan will allow the council to meet and improve upon its core statutory functions in the delivery of services for children in need of help and protection, children looked after and care leavers.

7. Implications

7.1 Financial

Implications verified by: **Kay Goodacre**
Finance Manager

There are no immediate financial implications for the authority arising out of the action plan, however as plans are implemented there may be a need to realign budgets for service changes.

7.2 Legal

Implications verified by: **Lindsay Marks**
Principal Solicitor Children's Safeguarding

The Local Authority has a statutory duty to provide services to children in need of help and protection, failure to effectively do so could lead to legal challenges and reputational damage. The Local Authority is required to provide clear evidence of how it is implementing the inspection recommendations.

7.3 Diversity and Equality

Implications verified by: **Natalie Warren**
Community Development and Equalities Manager

The local authority and its partners must ensure that a range of services and provision is in place to protect children from all backgrounds. In implementing the action plan the authority must ensure that improvements are made for children and young people from all backgrounds and that none are directly or in-directly discriminated against. Once developed, an equality impact assessment will be carried out against the action plan to ensure we are fully meeting the need of children and young people with protected characteristics as we implement our improvement plan

7.4 Other implications (where significant) – i.e. Staff, Health, Sustainability, Crime and Disorder)

N/A

8. Background papers used in preparing the report (including their location on the Council's website or identification whether any are exempt or protected by copyright):

Ofsted Single Framework Inspection Report dated 24.5.16

9. Appendices to the report

Appendix 1: Ofsted Single Inspection Report & Local Authority Draft Action Plan

Report Author:

Andrew Carter

Head of Service

Children's Social Care

This page is intentionally left blank

Thurrock Council

Inspection of services for children in need of help and protection, children looked after and care leavers

and

Review of the effectiveness of the Local Safeguarding Children Board¹

Inspection date: 22 February 2016 to 17 March 2016

Report published: 24 May 2016

Children's services in Thurrock Council require improvement to be good	
1. Children who need help and protection	Require improvement
2. Children looked after and achieving permanence	Require improvement
2.1 Adoption performance	Require improvement
2.2 Experiences and progress of care leavers	Require improvement
3. Leadership, management and governance	Require improvement

¹ Ofsted produces this report under its power to combine reports in accordance with section 152 of the Education and Inspections Act 2006. This report includes the report of the inspection of local authority functions carried out under section 136 of the Education and Inspections Act 2006 and the report of the review of the Local Safeguarding Children Board carried out under the Local Safeguarding Children Boards (Review) Regulations 2013.

Executive summary

Services to children, young people and families in Thurrock require improvement. Children and young people were found to be safe in Thurrock during this inspection, with none identified who were at immediate risk of significant harm without plans and services being in place to reduce these risks and to meet their needs.

While there are pockets of good practice across all areas of children's social care, the majority of practice is less than good, specifically much of the core business regarding assessment and planning for children, securing a stable workforce, supervision and management oversight. In the last inspection of safeguarding and looked after children services in 2012, the local authority was judged to be good. Following this inspection, senior officers and leaders did not continue to ensure that children and families received consistently good services.

The local authority has addressed effectively almost all areas for improvement that were identified at its last inspection, including its response to referrers, access to a range of leisure activities for children looked after and implementation of early help assessments. However, the quality of assessments and plans for children in need, including children with a disability, those in need of protection, children looked after and care leavers, requires improvement.

The local authority appointed a new permanent head of children's social care in October 2014, a new chief executive in September 2015 and an interim director of children's services (DCS) in late January 2016, pending the swift appointment of their new DCS who is due to start in May this year. These appointments have been of highly skilled professionals who have demonstrated their positive impact on services in a relatively short time.

Most children benefit from early help provided by a range of strong commissioned services. Children who have more complex needs and require a coordinated response do not always receive such effective early help and support. The local authority does not ensure that all children who have been missing from home or care benefit from a return home interview after they have been missing. Children in need of protection receive a swift and appropriate service, but children in need often experience delay in seeing their social worker for an assessment of their needs. Children are not effectively supported to attend and participate in their formal review meetings. When these meetings take place, reports and minutes from previous meetings are often shared too late to be fully considered.

Children looked after do not receive a consistently good service, and too many become looked after in an emergency. The recruitment of foster carers is not resulting in an appropriate range of local placement options, and too many children live outside the borough, away from their communities, families and friends. Children looked after achieve well relative to their peers in the early years. Outcomes for children looked after at the end of key stage 2 have improved significantly, but are still below outcomes for all children. However, educational outcomes for children looked after who are taking GCSE examinations are poor. Personal education plans are not sufficiently detailed. The virtual school does not effectively monitor the educational progress and outcomes for the majority of children looked after who live outside the borough.

Waiting times for children with a plan for adoption are reducing. However, workers within mainstream social work teams do not consistently consider adoption for all children who cannot return home. Post-adoption support is insufficient for children and families who are entitled to this service. Care leavers receive good day-to-day support, but not enough young people are benefiting from staying put arrangements after they turn 18 years, and they do not all receive effective support to transition into adulthood. Pathway reviews are not being undertaken within timescales.

The local authority's use of performance management and quality assurance information across all areas of the service is poor, and impedes any improvement needed. Use of feedback from children and families to inform service development is underutilised. Accurate data regarding performance is collated, but managers do not analyse this data in order to inform service developments. There are weaknesses in the analysis of social workers' activity in relation to timeliness (for example, of multi-agency safeguarding hub (MASH) processes and assessments), the consideration of trends from return home interviews and 'children missing education' information, the overview and analysis of findings from audit, and the full analysis of key factors affecting services for children in need of protection and children looked after.

The instability of the workforce leaves services vulnerable. The local authority is fully aware of the workforce challenges and has a range of creative initiatives in place to address this in the longer term. Management oversight of frontline practice is inconsistent, with too many areas of weakness, and results in a lack of effective challenge to progress children's plans and effect change for children. Currently, the local authority does not systematically ensure that the workforce receives supervision of sufficient quality and frequency.

Some elements of services to children and their families have improved. The local

authority's Multi-Agency Safeguarding Hub (MASH), for example, is securing strong information sharing between professionals and robust decision making regarding appropriate services for children and their families. Management oversight within the MASH is very strong. Other improvements include the response for children who are at risk of child sexual exploitation, which reduces their risks. The authority has also substantially improved its offer to teenagers, the vast majority of whom receive a good service from the adolescents team.

Despite changes of key personnel, the local authority has greatly improved its corporate and cross-party political support for children's services. The local authority had a recent peer review of its corporate arrangements by the Local Government Association, which endorsed the strong working relationships seen during this inspection. Effective support from the political leadership is evident through the challenging overview and scrutiny function, and in the proactive Health and Wellbeing Board.

Contents

Executive summary	2
The local authority	6
Information about this local authority area	6
Summary for children and young people	11
The experiences and progress of children who need help and protection	12
The experiences and progress of children looked after and achieving permanence	20
Leadership, management and governance	33
The Local Safeguarding Children Board (LSCB)	40
Executive summary	40
Recommendations	41
Inspection findings – the Local Safeguarding Children Board	41
Information about this inspection	48

The local authority

Information about this local authority area²

Previous Ofsted inspections

- The local authority operates no children's homes.
- The last inspection of the local authority's safeguarding arrangements was in June 2012. The local authority was judged to be good.
- The previous inspection of the local authority's services for children looked after was in June 2012. The local authority was judged to be good.

Local leadership

- The interim director of children's services has been in post since January 2016.
- The chair of the Local Safeguarding Children Board has been in post since August 2012.

Children living in this area

- Approximately 40,093 children and young people under the age of 18 years live in Thurrock. This is 25% of the total population in the area.
- Approximately 21% of the local authority's children are living in poverty.
- The proportion of children entitled to free school meals:
 - in primary schools is 14% (the national average is 16%)
 - in secondary schools is 14% (the national average is 14%).
- Children and young people from minority ethnic groups account for 22% of all children living in the area, compared with 22% in the country as a whole.
- The largest minority ethnic group of children and young people in the area is Black or Black British.
- The proportion of children and young people with English as an additional language:
 - in primary schools is 17% (the national average is 19%)
 - in secondary schools is 10% (the national average is 15%).

² The local authority was given the opportunity to review this section of the report and has updated it with local unvalidated data, where this was available.

- Thurrock has the highest rate of unaccompanied asylum-seeking children (UASC) in the eastern region: 20.7 children per 10,000 population. The number of UASC has doubled in the last 12 months. In December 2015, one in four children looked after was a UASC.

Child protection in this area

- At 22 February 2016, 1,609 children had been identified through assessment as being formally in need of a specialist children's service. This is an increase from 1,563 at 31 March 2015.
- At 22 February 2016, 263 children and young people were the subject of a child protection plan. This is an increase from 201 at 31 March 2015.
- At 22 February 2016, two children live in a privately arranged fostering placement. This is a reduction from seven at 31 March 2015.
- Since the last inspection, four serious incident notifications have been submitted to Ofsted and five serious case reviews have been completed or are ongoing at the time of the inspection.

Children looked after in this area

- At 22 February 2016, 336 children are being looked after by the local authority (a rate of 87.2 per 10,000 children). This is an increase from 280 (70 per 10,000 children) at 31 March 2015.
 - of this number, 245 (or 72.9%) live outside the local authority area
 - 31 live in residential children's homes, all of whom live outside the authority area
 - one lives in a residential special school³ outside the authority area
 - 238 live with foster families, of whom 65.1% live outside the authority area
 - five live with parents, of whom two live outside the authority area
 - 77 children are UASC.
- In the last 12 months:
 - there have been 18 adoptions
 - 25 children became subjects of special guardianship orders

³ These are residential special schools that look after children for 295 days or less per year.

- 114 children ceased to be looked after, of whom 5.3% subsequently returned to be looked after
- 21 children and young people ceased to be looked after and moved on to independent living
- no children or young people ceased to be looked after and are now living in houses of multiple occupation.

Recommendations

1. Ensure that accurate performance data is analysed and that this leads to specific actions for improvement.
2. Strengthen oversight, coordination and quality assurance of early help services to ensure that children and families are receiving the right support at the right time.
3. Ensure that assessments and plans for children are of a consistently high quality.
4. Improve the offer of return home interviews to children and young people who have been missing from home or care to increase take-up of these interviews.
5. Ensure that more children are supported to participate in, and contribute to, their meetings, conferences and reviews, that they and their parents have access to reports beforehand, and that meeting minutes are circulated promptly.
6. Ensure that robust arrangements are in place to reduce the need for children and young people to become looked after in an emergency.
7. Ensure that the recruitment of foster carers is appropriately targeted, better to meet the current and future demand for foster placements, and to reduce the number of children looked after who have to be placed out of the borough.
8. Ensure that personal education plans are of a consistently high standard and that the virtual school monitors and analyses effectively the progress of all children looked after, including those who attend schools outside of Thurrock.
9. Ensure that managers oversee and drive forward permanence plans for children effectively.
10. Develop post-adoption support arrangements to ensure that all children and families who are eligible have access to an appropriate service.
11. Ensure that an effective 'staying put' policy makes it possible for more young people to live with their former foster carers beyond the age of 18 years.
12. Ensure that pathway assessments and plans are developed to engage care leavers effectively, and that care leavers benefit from regular reviews.

13. Ensure that care leavers are supported to gain independence skills effectively, including through the setting of aspirational targets to help them to achieve educational and employment goals.
14. Secure a more stable workforce to ensure that children are able to build enduring relationships with social workers and to enable the local authority to drive through improvement to services, such as increasing early planning for permanence for children that starts at the front door.
15. Ensure and demonstrate that children's and families' views and feedback are used well to shape service developments.
16. Regularly audit supervision files to ensure that frequency and quality of supervision are resulting in improved practice.

Summary for children and young people

- Services to children and families in Thurrock require improvement. This means that the local authority has not maintained the quality of services since its last inspection in 2012, when services were judged to be good.
- Managers do not effectively use the information that they have about the performance of children's social care to understand what is going well or less well, or to help them to plan relevant improvements to services.
- Early help to children and their families is often helpful when only one service is involved. However, when children's needs are more complex and several services need to be involved to help them, these services do not always work well together to provide effective help to children.
- Most children who need a social worker are properly referred for this service. However, there are a small number of children who experience increasing levels of need and risk, and are not referred for a social work service quickly enough.
- The council set up a new team in 2014 to manage referrals for children: the multi-agency safeguarding hub (MASH). This team is doing some very good work. There is a range of professionals from different agencies within this team and they work closely together to share information and make good decisions about who should be working with children and families to meet their needs.
- Children who need immediate protection are seen quickly, and professionals work well together to make sure that any immediate risk is reduced. For those children who are in need, there is often a delay in seeing their social worker.
- The quality of assessments and plans for children, including those in need of protection, those who are looked after and care leavers, are not good enough. Managers do not monitor social workers and the progress of plans well enough, which means that there is sometimes a delay in things changing for the better for children and their families.
- The vast majority of teenagers receive a good service from the adolescents team. They have detailed assessments of need and effective plans.
- Younger children looked after are doing better at school, but most teenagers looked after are not supported to achieve good grades in their GCSEs.
- Although improving, there is still some delay for children who are being adopted.
- Managers have worked well to make sure that children who are at risk of child sexual exploitation receive a good service that reduces their risk.
- When children have been missing, return home interviews help them to talk through any issues. However, not all children receive an interview.
- There is a Children in Care Council, but managers and politicians need to do more to make sure they listen to the children and care leavers of this council.

<p>The experiences and progress of children who need help and protection</p>	<p>Requires improvement</p>
<p>Summary</p> <p>Early help commissioned services are effective and underpinned by a comprehensive early help commissioning strategy. However, oversight and monitoring of early help is not fully developed, so not all children and families receive early intervention and coordinated services at the time that they need it. Thresholds across early help and children’s social care are not always applied appropriately, leading to delays in a small number of children and families receiving timely support.</p> <p>Strong multi-agency partnership working ensures that urgent responses to protect children are established through the multi-agency safeguarding hub (MASH). Contacts are progressed quickly and receive effective management oversight, and appropriate consent is obtained from families.</p> <p>The majority of social work intervention for children in need requires improvement. There are some examples of more positive practice, but this is inconsistent across the service. Weaker practice is particularly evident in assessments, planning and driving change for children. High caseloads and frequent changes in staff in some teams have had an impact upon relationships with children and families. For a minority of children, this means that change to meet their needs or reduce risk is not always timely or sustained.</p> <p>A few children experience delays in being seen for an assessment of their needs. Feedback and advocacy for children and young people are not routinely used, and not enough children are supported to attend meetings. Plans are neither proactively driven forward to improve outcomes nor specific enough for families to know how to improve their circumstances.</p> <p>Risks relating to child sexual exploitation are responded to well, and risk assessments tools are used effectively to reduce risks to children. Responses to children missing are inconsistent. Not all children receive a return home interview, and records from these are not always uploaded into children’s records. When completed, return home interviews are of good quality.</p> <p>While there is detailed oversight of individual children missing education and children electively home educated, the local authority does not routinely analyse and evaluate the data on them to respond to trends or to inform service development.</p>	

Inspection findings

17. A comprehensive early help commissioning strategy is in place, and appropriate early support is available for most children and families. This includes an effective range of commissioned services which are leading to improved outcomes for children and their families. Although all universal services are signed up to the offer of early help across the local authority area, children's centres and health visitors currently underuse early help assessments to inform their work with children and families. This means that they are not effectively coordinating early support for children and their families with other agencies.
18. When children and families with more complex needs require a coordinated early help response by more than one agency, there is some variability in practice. For most children, the local authority's early help team oversees these cases effectively, providing support to lead professionals, and makes good use of the multi-agency group (MAG) panels in the locality to clarify additional support needs for children and their families, and to signpost relevant services. For a small minority of children, there is insufficient monitoring and quality assurance of the early help offer, which results in a lack of assessment and a lack of a successful offer of help. The local authority recognises that coordination and oversight of early help are an area for improvement. It is currently exploring relevant options to achieve this (Recommendation).
19. Thresholds are understood, and are being appropriately applied in the large majority of cases, which means that children's and young people's needs are effectively risk assessed and their cases stepped up to children's social care when required. However, a small number of children do not receive the right help at the right time, or help that is proportionate to their needs and risks. During this inspection, a small number of cases were raised where children would have benefited from receiving a social work service rather than early help. The local authority responded swiftly and robustly to ensure that these children's needs and risks were being appropriately recognised and addressed. Parents spoken to by inspectors shared their frustration at not receiving support in a timely way. Conversely, some children who could have received appropriate support through provision of early help have received a statutory service.
20. Effective step-down arrangements between children's social care and early help are not consistently in place. A lack of continuing oversight of children's cases that have been stepped down means that the local authority cannot be assured that all children continue to receive services that promote their welfare via early help after closure to children's social care. During this inspection, no children

were identified to be at immediate risk of significant harm without services in place to reduce risk and meet their needs.

21. The MASH provides a strong response to referrals for early help, troubled families and children's social care. Contacts made using early help assessments are variable in quality, with a minority lacking basic information that is needed to inform decisions fully regarding the most appropriate response. For children in need of protection, strategy meetings are identified swiftly and prioritised for urgent action.
22. The troubled families programme in Thurrock is successful. All 360 families in phase one were helped to turn around much earlier than the target deadline. Managers have set a target to build upon existing success, with a further 1,160 families being helped by 2020. Recently, a frontline troubled families worker has been based in the MASH to identify eligible families actively and to make sure that they receive a timely response. The proactive way in which the local programme is prioritising children with child protection and child in need plans is providing additional practical support to these most complex families.
23. Strong multi-agency performance and information sharing has enabled the MASH to respond to 96% of contacts within 24 hours over the past six months. Good use is made of risk assessment tools and children's family history, alongside clear management oversight and direction in all cases. Professionals obtain appropriate consent from families and, where the need for consent is overruled for the protection of children, the reasons for this are clearly recorded. Appropriate feedback following contact is provided to referrers in order to share the actions taken to promote children's welfare. An experienced and stable emergency duty team ensures that immediate responses to safeguard children out of office hours are effective. The MASH has a good reputation with professionals, who praise the holistic approach taken in responding to children's needs, the advice available to professionals and the range of information collated to help inform decisions to safeguard children.
24. When children need protecting, strategy meetings are timely and include professionals from an appropriate range of agencies. Development of the MASH has helped to secure routine engagement from health and police professionals in strategy meetings. This means that intelligence about risks to children is shared effectively, and demonstrates a real shared ownership of decisions to keep children safe. In a small number of cases, not all key professionals participated in strategy discussion to inform decision making, although minimal impact on decision making for individual children was seen during this inspection. However, the large majority of children in need experience a delay

in being seen after allocation by children's social care, including after a step up from early help. Additionally, some teams have high caseloads and have had many changes of staff, which means that not all children and families were able to develop trusting relationships with workers.

25. The large majority of child protection investigations are thorough, timely and informed by information gathered from relevant professionals and children's histories. Children are seen, and parental involvement and views are appropriately obtained. Responses to safeguard children are proportionate to risks identified, and effective actions are taken to ensure their safety and welfare.
26. Developments to safeguard children at risk of female genital mutilation, as part of a Department for Education-funded innovation project, are beginning to improve awareness of this safeguarding issue across agencies. Referrals to the MASH have increased since December 2015, but further awareness raising is needed to ensure that all children who may be at risk of female genital mutilation in the local authority area are identified and appropriately supported.
27. Assessments of children's needs, risks, strengths and wishes vary in content and quality. Most assessments do not identify the impact of risks or family history for children well, and only a minority fully explore the child's experience. Consideration of identity, diversity and cultural needs, or how these can increase children's vulnerability, is inconsistent, and these needs are identified only in a small minority of assessments. These include assessments completed for children with disabilities, where evidence that social workers know the children well is not always clear and the impact of disability on children's identity is not fully addressed. Too few assessments contain the views of children. Their experiences do not routinely inform decisions made for them. Although there are systems in place to review the progress of assessments, these are not robust. A lack of management oversight contributes to drift in achieving change for a minority of children (Recommendation).
28. Child protection conferences are well attended by relevant agencies and include positive multi-agency engagement. Families do not always receive child protection reports in time to be able to prepare adequately for meetings, and advocacy is not routinely accessed for those families that would benefit from additional support. Children's assessments are used for initial and review conference reports, but do not always clearly record what changes and actions have been taken or progressed to safeguard children. The local authority is reviewing this, but a new process is not yet in place (Recommendation).

29. Child in need and child protection plans do not consistently address children's needs and are variable in detail. Half the plans seen require improvement to ensure that clear outcomes are identified and specific outcome targets set for individual children. In a small minority of cases, poor management oversight has led to drift in plans being progressed, and they are not updated following reviews. Few plans seen by inspectors showed evidence of strong management oversight and direction. However, core groups are held regularly, and are appropriately attended by parents and the relevant professionals in order to review and progress plans. When cases transfer between teams, risk assessment tools are appropriately used to identify actions, and appropriate challenge by managers is evident (Recommendation).
30. Positive and focused work with most teenagers and their families is completed by the adolescents team. This includes effective use of the child sexual exploitation risk assessment and adolescent neglect tool, when relevant, to support young people in need, including those in need of protection. Clear, directive and creative plans help professionals to prevent family breakdown and provide effective direct work with young people.
31. At the time of inspection, 263 children were subject to child protection plans, which is a substantial increase from the 201 who were subject to a plan at 31 March 2015. Managers have explored the reasons for this increase and appropriately identify that it is in response to stepping-up cases where no meaningful change or reduction of risk for children was being achieved at the child in need level. During this inspection, no children were found to be subject to child protection plans who did not require this level of statutory intervention.
32. At the time of this inspection, there were 125 children subject to child protection plans due to risk of emotional abuse, 120 due to neglect, six due to risk of physical abuse and 12 due to risk of sexual abuse. The local authority removed the category of multiple abuse by the end of January 2016 after a review revealed that the category was masking information regarding the risk of sexual abuse. This led to an increase in children who were subject to child protection plans for risk of sexual abuse, from 1% to 5%, since March 2015. There has also been an increase in the use of the category of emotional abuse, from 26% to 48% in the same period. The local authority is appropriately reviewing this in order to gain a fuller understanding of the issues leading to this increase. Effective child protection surgeries with senior managers are held in order to review progress and to reduce risk for children who have been subject to child protection plans for a nine-month period or longer. The additional oversight provided by these surgeries has helped the local authority

safely to manage down the number of children remaining on child protection plans for over two years.

33. Social workers recognise and respond well when children are at risk of sexual exploitation. Good use is made of the pan-Essex risk assessment tool to identify those who are most at risk. This leads to strategy meetings for those with complex needs to share information and to develop a strong, coordinated, multi-agency response for individual children. The child sexual exploitation coordinator provides social workers and managers with effective challenge, advice and guidance. Although the local authority currently keeps separate data in respect of children missing from home, school or care, and those at risk of child sexual exploitation, the operational risk assessment group rigorously cross-checks data against the most recent list of children reported as missing to the police to ensure that individual children are safeguarded and protected. However, the group is not using data collected from return home interviews to inform planning for these vulnerable children.
34. Appropriate referrals are made to a commissioned service to deliver return home interviews, but the large majority of children decline the offer of a return home interview after they have been missing. When they are completed, the return home interviews are rich in information about the child's life, home circumstances, who their friends are and places where they like to go. However, these records are not reliably uploaded to children's local authority records. This limits the potential use of this information to plan actively to reduce risk for children and young people (Recommendation).
35. The local authority has developed a range of good initiatives to help children and young people, including children looked after, to keep themselves and others safe from issues such as from bullying and online grooming. Successful projects such as 'Show racism the red card' are used in schools to deliver key messages about hate crime and 'Prevent'. An e-safety project has reached a number of troubled families, helping to make parents aware of potential dangers online.
36. A consultant practitioner provides expert advice to social workers in supporting children and families where parental substance misuse or mental health issues are a feature. This includes advice on hair strand tests and reports for court processes, to ensure that all risks to children are appropriately identified.
37. Children and young people aged five to 16 years who are experiencing domestic abuse within their families have access to domestic abuse support groups that are innovative, fun and creative. These groups support children to understand their rights, protect themselves and learn new skills. Appropriate

referrals are made to the multi-agency risk assessment conference (MARAC), where positive attendance, engagement from a range of agencies and clearly recorded safety plans ensure that effective actions are in place to protect children and adults.

38. Homeless 16 and 17 year olds who are identified as vulnerable or living in unsuitable accommodation are effectively assessed, and provided with accommodation if they are found to be in need. Those young people who do not wish to become looked after are appropriately supported through a joint protocol between housing and children's social care for homeless young people. They are provided with expert mediation from the homeless intervention project to assist them to return home, or they are provided with hostel accommodation with additional support from a commissioned provider. Bed and breakfast accommodation has not been used for the past two years.
39. There are 79 children missing education, including those who are in alternative education but not receiving their full 25 hours per week offer, with the number being reduced each month. A rigorous system is in place to monitor children missing education, and effective monthly strategic meetings on children missing education involve key professionals and their managers to focus on all children missing education. The local authority assures itself that children have a suitable school place and are confirmed as attending before it ceases its monitoring. Vulnerable children, including unaccompanied asylum-seeking children and those in Years 10 and 11, are discussed at detailed monthly inclusion panels. Here, the headteachers of secondary schools explore who can provide the best place for each individual child.
40. There are currently 173 Thurrock children who are electively home educated. The local authority actively reviews all children who are electively home educated at the monthly strategic meetings on children missing education. This includes checking the information that they hold to ensure that children are not at risk and that they have been seen, and to identify when a conflict with school can be resolved. A 'traffic light' system is used to flag families on this list that may need additional support. The local authority does not sufficiently analyse and evaluate the data about children missing from education and those who are electively home educated to find out if there are particular trends, for example if numbers are increasing or decreasing, or to consider fully why this may be (Recommendation).
41. Notifications about private fostering arrangements are responded to within statutory timescales and, in the large majority of cases, are subject to robust scrutiny to ensure that children are safe. Children are seen and visited

frequently, with their views and wishes recorded in private fostering assessments. Neither proactive engagement with community faith groups nor awareness raising with professionals and the public have increased notifications. These are very low, and only two children are currently being supported as privately fostered children.

42. The local authority's response to allegations against professionals working with children are effective and timely. Referrals and management planning meeting minutes clearly record risks to children and the actions to be taken. All planning meetings are well attended by appropriate agencies in order to share relevant intelligence and information, and to ensure that children are protected. Officers efficiently track the progress of investigations and plans.
43. The 'Prevent' duty has a high profile in Thurrock as a result of cooperative working relationships through the community safety partnership. Multi-agency working is supported by a clear 'Prevent' strategy and a thorough action plan that has recently been refreshed. A helpful practitioner guide for direct work with young people was used well with 14 young people in the past year. An excellent equality impact assessment underpins the 'Prevent' agenda. There is strong collaboration between agencies, including the Local Safeguarding Children Board (LSCB).

<p>The experiences and progress of children looked after and achieving permanence</p>	<p>Requires improvement</p>
<p>Summary</p> <p>Greater persistence is required to translate senior managers’ goals into improved outcomes for all children looked after. Most become looked after in an emergency, including unaccompanied asylum-seeking children (UASC). The recruitment of foster carers needs to be better targeted. The fact that most children looked after live outside of the borough is having a significant impact on social work time, energy and resources. This is contributing to the generally poor quality of assessments and plans. Children’s electronic case files are often incomplete. Family group conferences are not used fully, and the use of the public law outline is not consistently well recorded. The quality of pre-proceedings letters is variable. Assessments completed in support of care or adoption proceedings are generally of a good standard. The length of care proceedings has been reduced, and most are completed within 26 weeks. Good use of special guardianship is providing long-term stability for children and young people.</p> <p>Most children live in settled and stable placements, but the staying put policy is not yet successful in achieving stability for young people post-18. Good attention is paid to children’s health and emotional well-being. Reviews are regular, but children and families do not routinely see social workers’ reports beforehand, and there are significant delays in distributing review meeting minutes. Not enough is done to support children to contribute to and participate in their reviews. Children looked after who are at risk of sexual exploitation receive effective help. The response for children who have been missing is inconsistent, and one in five are not offered an interview to explore their issues. The virtual school is not effectively evaluating the educational progress of children looked after. While the gap in attainment between younger children looked after and their peers is narrowing, very few young people looked after achieve five good GCSEs.</p> <p>Adoption is not routinely considered at the earliest opportunity for all children who cannot safely return home. Once children have a plan for adoption, timely progress is made in recruiting and matching them with adoptive families. Further work is required to improve the volume and range of post-adoption support. Assessments of care leavers’ needs and subsequent plans are not sufficiently detailed and their style does not engage young people. Not all care leavers are supported to gain relevant independence skills. Care leavers do benefit from good day-to-day support, live in safe and suitable accommodation and the number of care leavers engaged in education, employment or training has increased.</p>	

Inspection findings

44. Children become looked after when risks increase and they need to be safeguarded and protected. The local authority responds positively to the needs of unaccompanied asylum-seeking children (UASC), who currently account for 23% of the children looked after population. However, too many children come into care in an emergency. These include UASC, for whom it is often not possible to forward plan. However, emergency placements are potentially traumatic for children and undermine the local authority's ability to match them with suitable placements (Recommendation).
45. The number of children looked after has increased from 280 at 31 March 2015 to 336 at the time of this inspection. In a small number of cases, inspectors saw evidence of the local authority having missed the opportunity to prevent family breakdown because it had been slow to intervene. However, when the vast majority of children return home, robust arrangements are put in place to ensure that they are appropriately safeguarded and protected.
46. The local authority is making extensive use of the public law outline, but this is not always well recorded, and the quality of pre-proceedings letters is variable. Some letters identify issues and concerns clearly and concisely, and explain in plain and simple language what needs to change. Others are over-complicated and include jargon and acronyms, which makes them less easy for parents to understand.
47. The creative potential of family group conferences (FGCs) to explore and develop family-based solutions is not being fully realised. FGCs are only used in cases where the public law outline has commenced, and there is a waiting list for this service. As a result, when cases come to court the local authority frequently finds itself under pressure to complete multiple viability assessments.
48. In the last nine months, the weekly threshold panel has become increasingly influential in overseeing potential placement decisions for children looked after. However, it does not retrospectively review all emergency placements, and this limits the local authority's ability to learn from these cases in order to reduce the number of children who become looked after in an unplanned way (Recommendation).
49. The majority of assessments require improvement. Inspectors observed delays in starting assessments, particularly in the case of UASC and older assessments that had not been updated. Assessments completed in support of care or adoption proceedings are generally of a much better standard. Almost all are

timely, take good account of historical issues and concerns, and are strong on analysis (Recommendation).

50. In the majority of cases, children are seen alone by their social worker, the views and experiences of the child are well recorded, and there is good observation and analysis of children's behaviour and interactions, and purposeful direct work. In a minority of cases, there is evidence of poor recording, lack of focus, historic gaps in the pattern of regular statutory visits and, in the case of UASC, delays between children becoming looked after and being seen by a social worker. In 2015, high staff turnover made it difficult for children and young people to build and maintain meaningful relationships with their social workers, and this contributed to drift and delay. According to foster carers, since then the appointment of a number of newly qualified social workers with protected caseloads has made a significant difference. Improved stability of social workers within the children looked after social care teams now means that frequent changes of social workers are now the exception rather than the norm.
51. Until very recently, social workers have not been sufficiently proactive in identifying children, particularly those who are estranged from their families, including UASC, who would benefit from having an independent visitor to befriend, advise and support them during their time in care. Between April and December last year there were 106 requests for advocacy support, all of which were met. Currently, however, nearly half of children looked after who are old enough to do so do not participate in or contribute to their reviews. The local authority has also recognised that further work is required to ensure that children and young people know how, and feel confident to, provide feedback on the services that they receive (Recommendation).
52. While good understanding and awareness of child sexual exploitation, and the need to safeguard and protect children who go missing from care, ensure that risks are identified and assessed, one in five children looked after who go missing are not offered a return interview. The local authority recognises that this is not good enough and is appropriately planning to address the problem (Recommendation).
53. Progress has been made in promoting the health and well-being of children looked after, as evidenced by improved performance figures. For example, dental checks are up from 84% in 2013–14 to 93% in 2014–15, and in 2014–15 86% of children looked after were up to date with their immunisations compared to only 57.8% the year before. However, confusion about referral pathways and delays in completing the necessary paperwork mean that the

timeliness of initial health assessments (IHA) is still a cause for concern. While the issue is being actively addressed, as of 1 March 2016 approximately 20% of children looked after who were eligible for an IHA assessment were waiting for an appointment and a further 20% were waiting for the necessary paperwork to be completed. This is not acceptable, particularly given the high number of UASC who may not have had their health needs assessed for some time.

54. The local authority and its health partners are developing a more responsive approach to the emotional well-being and mental health of children and young people, including children looked after. Since 1 November 2015, children and families are able to self-refer to a single point of contact. Here, they are offered an effective weekday triage service resulting, for the most vulnerable, in an immediate response from the crisis team, or, in the case of those whose needs are less urgent, in timely clinic-based appointments. Six-weekly looked after children surgeries, chaired by the head of service, make sure that, after initial health assessments have been completed, the education and health needs of children looked after are met.
55. Although 87% of children looked after attend a good school, the virtual school does not consistently analyse and evaluate the information that it collects on the educational progress of children looked after, particularly the 73% of children looked after who attend schools outside of Thurrock (Recommendation).
56. In 2014/15, 80% of children looked after reached a good level of development at the end of the early years foundation stage (EYFS) and performed better than their peers overall. During the same period, the number of children looked after who attained a level 2B+ at key stage 1 in reading dropped to 70% and in writing to 50%. However, the most recent, unvalidated data suggests an improving picture at the end of key stage 2 with 89% of children looked after making the expected two levels of progress between key stage 1 and key stage 2. Although slightly below the average for all Thurrock children, the gap in attainment in reading, writing and mathematics at the end of key stage 2 is closing. The corporate parenting committee has identified the attainment of young people looked after at the end of key stage 4 as a cause for concern. Very few young people achieve five A* to C grades, including maths and English, at GCSE. The prediction that 15% of Thurrock young people looked after would achieve five A* to C grades in 2015/16 has been reduced to a prediction of 10%.
57. The quality of personal education plans (PEPs) is not good enough. The better ones include clear targets with measurable success criteria, and capture the

child's voice and foster carers' views well. The minority that are less good lack key information, include targets that are general rather than specific, and are not always sufficiently individualised for brothers and sisters. While 90% of compulsory school-aged children have a PEP, only 76% of those in Year 12, and 69% in Year 13, have one (Recommendation).

58. When children looked after are missing education, prompt action is taken to find a suitable school place for them. Currently, four school-aged children looked after are missing education. Two of these are not in receipt of full-time education and are accessing suitable alternative part-time provision or tutoring, as part of agreed plans to support them back into full-time education as soon as possible. For the remaining two children, the local authority is working proactively to identify an appropriate education place.
59. Most children looked after live with families. Only 31 (9%) live in residential care. A service level agreement with Essex County Council increases access to foster placements within a reasonable distance of Thurrock. The local authority is aware that it needs to improve long-term stability for children looked after, but inspectors found that most children are living in settled placements.
60. The large majority of placements are of a good standard and are meeting children's needs. Good communication and liaison between carers, placements, schools and social workers ensure that packages of support, sometimes involving a range of different agencies, are well coordinated. Children looked after are encouraged and supported to maintain contact with their birth families, where applicable. In most cases, contact arrangements are clear, appropriate and well recorded. Children looked after are encouraged and supported to participate in social and leisure activities. However, while the local authority has a formal scheme of delegation, it is not being used. In practice, foster carers contact social workers for permission for children to participate in everyday activities. This is wasteful of social workers' time, unhelpful for carers and potentially intrusive for children.
61. The quality of care plans varies considerably. Although most focus on outcomes, the majority are over-lengthy and are neither sufficiently specific nor measurable. This makes it difficult for children looked after to understand or own their plans and, in some cases, contributes to drift (Recommendation).
62. The majority of reviews are timely, purposeful, well attended and well recorded. Independent reviewing officers (IROs) are knowledgeable and experienced, and know the children well. They are concerned by and continue to challenge the fact that children and families do not routinely have the opportunity to read social workers' reports or view proposed changes to their

care plans before their looked after reviews. This is disempowering as well as disrespectful for children. Delays in circulating review meeting minutes are contributing to drift and delay. They also mean that children looked after, and those who are caring for them, do not have ready access to the decisions taken and actions agreed at their reviews. The backlog, which is substantial, is due to a combination of relatively high IRO caseloads and a lack of administrative support, exacerbated by the number of children who are living out of borough (Recommendation).

63. With refreshed marketing and publicity materials, the fostering team has recently renewed its efforts to attract potential foster carers. In the absence of any specific recruitment targets, the general focus is on increasing the number of in-house foster carers who are able to foster older children, and brothers and sisters together. It is too early to evaluate the full impact of the recruitment campaign, but there is evidence of some success in recruiting new carers. The number of expressions of interest in fostering have increased and, in October 2015, Thurrock had 96 fostering households, up from 85 in March 2015 (Recommendation).
64. Prospective foster carers are assessed thoroughly. In-house foster carers are well supported, have good access to training and are subject to rigorous annual household reviews. With training accredited by the University of Essex and support from a clinical psychologist, a skilled group of therapeutic foster carers provide high-quality placements for children who might otherwise need residential care.
65. The quality of evidence and legal applications is generally good. Positive working relationships with the Child and Family Court Advisory and Support Service (Cafcass) and the judiciary are helping to drive down the average length of care proceedings, which has fallen significantly. The large majority are now completed within 26 weeks. This means that children do not have to wait longer than necessary for key decisions to be made about their futures.
66. Achieving permanency is not always clear or straightforward for children, with evidence of delays in some cases and plans being changed significantly in others. In the absence of full engagement from the frontline teams to achieve earlier permanence, the drive and ambition evidenced by middle and senior managers is not yet consistently evident. Inspectors observed a lack of urgency in some cases. The local authority continues to make good and effective use of special guardianship orders (SGOs) to make it possible for children to live with extended family members when it is not safe for them to return to live with

their birth parents. In the last 12 months, 25 children became the subject of an SGO.

67. Staying put arrangements, which enable care leavers aged 18 and over to continue to live with their former foster carers, are not yet fully developed. Only seven young people are living with their former foster carers as part of a staying put arrangement. Lack of certainty about their future is a potential source of anxiety for young people and their carers (Recommendation).
68. The generally poor quality of chronologies makes it difficult for children and young people to understand their life stories. The quality of case records is variable. Key documents including, for example, threshold panel minutes, return home interviews and pre-proceedings letters are not consistently being uploaded to the electronic case record system. This has significant implications for children, if and when they choose to access their records.
69. A small but active Children in Care Council is having an impact. For example, members of the council have been involved in the recruitment of social workers, and in reviewing and refreshing the pledge. They are particularly proud of having managed to secure a commitment from senior managers to include passports, savings accounts and life story work within the new pledge. However, disillusion has led to some young people leaving the Children in Care Council. Currently, the council has very little contact with the many children looked after who are living more than 20 miles outside the borough.
70. In the majority of cases, the service that children and young people receive is sensitive to their individual needs and unique identities. However, social workers are not always sufficiently creative or imaginative in overcoming barriers to communicating with children with disabilities.

The graded judgement for adoption performance is that it requires improvement

71. Adoption is not always considered for all children looked after who are unable to return home. There are initial delays in progressing plans, and early opportunities to secure the child's permanency arrangements have been missed. Consequently, some children have lived with uncertainty for too long. However, it is evident that permanency arrangements for children, either through adoption or SGO, are progressed with a greater degree of urgency once the case is transferred to the permanency team (Recommendation).
72. There has been an increase in the number of children being adopted in Thurrock, from 13 in 2014–15 to 18 in the year to date. Managers are actively working to raise the profile and consideration of adoption and permanence in frontline teams through training, inter-team seminars and case tracking. However, it is too early to see the impact. An adoption and permanency case-tracking tool is also used to improve timely progression through children's social care to adoption. Its effectiveness is limited, as dates and details are not included or updated for all children on the tracker to enable them to benefit from this extra scrutiny.
73. The local authority is committed to pursuing adoption as an option for children with complex needs, and has successfully secured adoptive placements for children with disabilities or who have special needs, brother and sister placements, and a young person in their teens. In the last year, the local authority appropriately rescinded the decision of placement for adoption for one child.
74. There has been an improvement in the length of time that children wait from the date at which they enter care to when they are placed for adoption. For the 2012–15 three-year average this was 625 days, which is 85 days shorter than the 2011–14 period (710 days). However, this figure, while showing a speedier process, is greater than both the national average of 593 days and the government target of 487 days. Similarly, after the court makes an order for a child to be placed for adoption, the local authority now takes less time to place the child in an adoptive family. For 2012–15, this was 186 days, which was 58 days shorter than the 2011–14 period. This is shorter than the national average of 223 days, but longer than the government target of 121 days.
75. The positioning of the adoption family-finding social worker in the permanency team has strengthened parallel planning. A greater sense of urgency is now

being applied to reduce the time that children have to wait before being matched with a suitable family. The local authority has been proactive, and currently 10 children are subject to placement orders and waiting for adoption. One is still in the family-finding stage, six have already moved in with families and three children are linked to prospective adopters, awaiting panel decisions regarding the match.

76. Children are prepared well for adoption. A complete picture of the child, their views and needs are captured well in child placement reports in order to facilitate a positive match with prospective adopters. Detailed introduction plans, although intense for carers, maintain a clear focus on the child. Well-managed arrangements achieve a seamless transition for children into their adoptive families. However, the lack of timeliness in completion of children's life story work in 2015 limited the effectiveness of this work.
77. The recruitment and assessment of potential adopters is thorough and rigorous, and adheres to national regulations. All assessments, including prospective adopter reports, are of a good standard, sufficiently detailed and informative. Adopters spoken to described their experiences as 'stressful', 'rewarding' and 'challenging'. However, prospective adopters waited between seven and 10 months for approval. There has been a lack of clear feedback to adopters across all stages of the recruitment and assessment process, and adopters said that this caused them unnecessary anxiety and stress.
78. The adoption panel is made up of representatives with relevant personal and professional experience of adoption. The panel has appropriately identified areas for improvement in relation to the quality of the medical contribution, quality assurance and support. Improvements in these areas would help to ensure that cases were better prepared for panel and avoid unnecessary delay. The head of service, in his role as agency decision maker, provides oversight and examination of panel information, and his decision-making is clear, concise and timely.
79. The local authority completed a comprehensive appraisal of its adoption services for children and has established a detailed action plan to address the challenges for the service. For example, weaknesses include a lack of 'foster to adopt' and concurrent placements, and the requirement of placements for brothers and sisters and older children who do not match many of the prospective adopters' profiles. As a result, the recruitment, assessment and approval of prospective adopters moved to a commissioned service in October 2015. Thurrock's new and prospective adopters (eight approved, four at stage two, three at stage one and 27 enquiries) have moved to the commissioned

provider, and this transition has been well managed. There is emerging evidence of these new arrangements making a difference, with two children and their prospective carers soon to be presented to panel for matching.

80. Potential adoptive families are provided with effective support when children are first placed. However, once an adoption order has been secured, support is less developed and the range of services are limited. Adoptive parents are not clear about their future entitlements or what support could be available. Over the past year, only 11 families have received post-adoption support, with eight to 10 adults (total 20) attending bi-monthly group support sessions. Support offered includes bereavement support, direct work with children, life story and therapeutic play/work. The quality of this work is valued by the children and adults, but the number receiving support is too low. Thurrock is not meeting its responsibility to ensure that adoption support is available to all adoptive children and their parents living in their area. Inspectors were informed of seven adoption breakdowns for children who were previously adopted through other local authorities but who now live in the borough and are the responsibility of Thurrock. Workers with these young people failed to recognise the need to refer them to the adoption support service or to offer specialist adoption support, which they are entitled to (Recommendation).
81. The local authority provides an impressive support group for adoptive children. This gives them the opportunity to meet regularly, share experiences, gain confidence and learn from each other. Letterbox arrangements for 152 children are in place and effective; birth parents and adoptive parents are supported to maintain agreed levels of contact. Specialist support is also beginning to be secured through good use of the adoption support fund. Since November 2015, 17 applications have been made, nine of which have been successful, primarily for therapeutic counselling support. Five further requests are awaiting an outcome. Adoption support for new carers will be provided by the new commissioned provider. It is too early to evidence the impact of this new offer.
82. The local authority supports families with SGOs well and provides financial support to 133 children's special guardians at the same level as its foster carers. Following the commissioned provider taking over the support of adoptive carers, the adoption support service now has some capacity and has extended its support offer to special guardianship carers and their families. While it is still an emerging offer, the local authority successfully engaged 28 special guardians in a three-day workshop in March 2015, and it has a plan in place to provide regular support groups for adult carers, children and young people.

The graded judgement about the experience and progress of care leavers is that it requires improvement

83. At the time of the inspection, the local authority was responsible for supporting 157 care leavers. Of these, 61 were aged 16 to 18, 92 were aged 19 to 21 and four were over 21. Of these, 68 (43%) are unaccompanied young asylum-seekers (UASC), which is a greater proportion than in previous years.
84. There has been a creative decision to place two after-care workers within the targeted youth service, where their line manager and colleagues have great experience of engaging young people in purposeful activities. This has led to a significant improvement in the number of care leavers staying in education, or entering employment or training. Currently, 62% of care leavers aged 19 to 21 are in employment, education or training, compared to 41% in March 2015 (which was lower than statistical neighbours at 51% and the England average of 48%). Effective links have also been established with the local careers centre. Care leavers state that the drop-in sessions at the local careers office give them an insight into writing CVs and getting a job, which is very helpful. However, the take-up and impact of this service is not monitored to evaluate benefit and outcomes.
85. The local authority has appropriate plans in place to increase further the number of care leavers engaged in education, employment and training, through work with the Duke of Edinburgh's Award scheme and Prince's Trust. To date, 10 care leavers have participated in a Duke of Edinburgh's Award scheme programme and just one in the Prince's Trust, so this is still at an early stage of development.
86. After-care workers have a tangible and clear commitment to the care leavers whom they support. They frequently go beyond what they need to do in order to support them. As a result, the local authority is regularly in touch with 89 (97%) of its current care leavers aged 19 to 21, a significant improvement from 2014–15 when the reported figure was 79%. Five care leavers were in custody at the time of inspection. The local authority maintains regular contact with these young people to ensure that appropriate plans can be made for their release.
87. Regular contact with workers who know them well means that young people know where and how to get help if they need it. They feel safe, and any concerns or issues about their safety or well-being are taken seriously. All care leavers who were spoken with as part of this inspection were aware of the risks

associated with sexual exploitation. Their workers know about their situations and act promptly when required, such as in helping their care leaver when faced with a situation of domestic violence or abuse.

88. Assessment records are too formulaic and are not presented in a user-friendly format. The majority of pathway plans do not use the views of care leavers in planning targeted next steps or to suggest how improvements can be made. Young people told inspectors that they lose interest when developing and reviewing their plans, saying that they stay involved in meetings because they like, and do not want to offend, their workers (Recommendation).
89. Plans are not reviewed with sufficient regularity, due to capacity issues within the after-care team. Crucially, plans and reviews neither record longer-term aspirations nor adequately capture educational achievements and next steps that might encourage or motivate young people to attain qualifications or to broaden their horizons. For example, reviews are not suggesting possibilities for apprenticeships at different levels and where these can lead. Information about staying-on rates in school sixth forms and the numbers of those who enter further education or training are not systematically collected. This limits further planning and improvement to help young people make effective transitions through adulthood (Recommendation).
90. Care leavers receive prompt and helpful support for their health and well-being needs, and they know how to access medical help. They receive effective help from a range of services such as counselling, and mental health and sexual health services. They receive good personal support at times of need, and their workers encourage and coax them to persevere. The local authority has invested in a health app to give care leavers access to their health histories. Although this is a promising development, it is not known how many care leavers are using this new technology.
91. Support is limited for care leavers to develop skills for their transition to greater levels of independence. There are currently no groups for care leavers to support them in developing their independent living skills, although work is undertaken on an individual basis. Care leavers are provided with appropriate information, for example when applying for driving licences or passports. The progress in implementing the 'staying put' policy to maintain stability of accommodation and care has been too slow (Recommendation).
92. The large majority of care leavers (92%), including all those who have special educational needs or a disability, live in suitable accommodation. Full checks are made to ensure that accommodation is safe and that young people feel secure. Additionally, managers carry out spot checks effectively to be assured

that agreed measures are being followed by accommodation providers. Close attention is paid to making sure that UASC are housed where they are safe and can access services to help them, such as proximity to colleges to learn English.

93. The local authority gives priority and appropriate help to care leavers when they seek their own accommodation. However, further work is required to ensure that the process for securing local authority tenancies becomes more young person friendly. If issues arise over tenancies, such as rent arrears or unsocial behaviour, workers promptly intervene to minimise disruption, and to make sure that the care leaver learns from the error or misbehaviour and can be rehoused promptly.
94. Care and support for the 18 care leavers with disabilities are effective. They have clear pathway assessments and plans, and benefit from much stability. All are in suitable accommodation, with nine in residential accommodation and nine in foster care. The majority have high needs and attend two local special schools graded as outstanding by Ofsted.
95. Care leavers understand their rights and entitlements, and know what support they can expect from their workers. They told inspectors that this is achieved more successfully through personal contact with their workers than through the written information available about their entitlements, which they described as uninteresting and not engaging. Care leavers, including those with special educational needs or who have a disability, receive a care-leaving grant which helps them to settle into living more independently. The local authority celebrates the achievements of care leavers positively at an annual awards ceremony.

Leadership, management and governance	Requires improvement
<p>Summary</p> <p>Leadership, management and governance require improvement in Thurrock, because many elements of core business do not deliver consistently good services to children, young people and families. Performance management is unsophisticated. Key data is not sufficiently analysed to provide leaders and managers with a narrative to understand the underlying issues or trends over time, and thereby develop relevant action plans. Of note is the absence of regular case audit analysis. This gap means that an accurate understanding of the quality of practice and any differences between teams or particular aspects of work is missed, and improvements over time cannot be monitored.</p> <p>The effectiveness of management oversight varies between teams, and this is an area for improvement recognised by the local authority. Steps are being taken to address this, with a number of panels and surgeries set up to ensure that cases are kept on track, alongside the development of management training and initiatives. However, the quality of supervision is not routinely scrutinised.</p> <p>Strong partnership working is evident, alongside effective corporate and cross-party support for the maintenance and development of services. Swift action has taken place when improvements were identified. This is evident in the response to issues raised during this inspection and the recent appointment of a new DCS.</p> <p>Commissioning arrangements are robust, and based on a comprehensive analysis and understanding of local needs. This has led to joint commissioning of new services, and detailed scrutiny and evaluation of the effectiveness of services already commissioned. Further work is needed by the local authority to ensure that there is a sufficient range and choice of placements for children and young people, and that specific targets are in place for the recruitment and retention of foster carers.</p> <p>The local authority uses learning from a range of sources to develop practice, but it does not gather feedback from children and families sufficiently or demonstrate how it has been used to improve services.</p> <p>The high number of agency staff and vacancy rates within the workforce have prompted the local authority to set up a retention and recruitment board to give this issue continued attention. The local authority is appropriately working with neighbouring authorities to develop joint strategies to reduce instability in the workforce, and has achieved some success in the longer-term teams.</p>	

Inspection findings

96. Performance management and quality assurance in Thurrock are underdeveloped. As a result, the local authority lacks a full understanding of the effectiveness of its services to children and families. A range of performance data is available, including a daily snapshot providing basic information such as numbers of children subject to plans and a corporate scorecard, which provides more detailed recording of monthly activity. However, while some documents offer a narrative and analysis, there are too many key areas, such as return home interviews for children who have been missing, in which data is not analysed to consider the key themes behind the figures. The newly appointed interim DCS quickly identified performance reporting and analysis as an area of weakness, and is working with colleagues to develop a strategic model to strengthen this critical area. Despite a regular programme of case auditing within the local authority, the information from these audits has not been evaluated and does not form the backdrop for an action plan. This means that there is an overall lack of clarity about the quality of practice, or improvement and trends over time (Recommendation).
97. Management oversight is not effective across all teams, contributing to inconsistent service delivery. The local authority found that management oversight was less than good in 85% of its own case audits for this inspection. The majority of case supervision records are poor, where actions agreed are not specific enough and workers are not challenged. This contributes to poor quality assessments and delays in progressing plans for children. In the minority of cases that were better, clear decision making is seen, which leads to decisive actions to protect and promote the welfare of children and young people, and to progress plans. When cases were referred by inspectors to the local authority for a review of decision making and actions, these were dealt with thoroughly and swiftly, and detailed action plans were immediately put in place to meet children's needs.
98. The local authority is aware of the variability of management oversight and is taking steps to address this. Senior managers are chairing a number of panels and surgeries to regain management grip, and to ensure that casework is progressed. The local authority also provides specific management training courses for new managers, and during 2015 three new managers received mentoring. A managers' forum is in place, and a new aspiring managers' scheme is being developed to provide training and support for those moving into management roles. This scheme is under development and its impact cannot yet be seen. Staff spoken with during the inspection stated that their

managers are supportive, know about the work they do, and are available to offer advice and guidance when needed.

99. Senior local authority managers, leaders and elected members work effectively together to promote services for children and families in Thurrock. Very clear governance arrangements are established between the key committees and groups, and there is active communication between board chairs, leaders and officers of the council. There is full corporate support for the work of children's services, and this critical function is receiving appropriate focus and prioritisation across the council. For example, through budget setting, leaders have maintained funding for children's services, and the local authority's planning department plays an active part in the Health and Wellbeing Board, helping to promote healthy lifestyles for children.
100. Children's social care receives strong cross-party support from elected members. This engagement is enhanced through monthly meetings between the chief executive and the lead for each political group to ensure that they are informed of current issues. Senior leaders and officers engage in regular discussions and meetings to ensure that key issues are communicated in a timely manner. For example, the head of care and targeted outcomes meets fortnightly with the lead member for children's services to provide an overview of key issues and progress of work undertaken. These meetings are supplemented by more informal discussions when critical incidents occur regarding the welfare of children or staff.
101. The chief executive and lead member have not held formal meetings over the past six months to hold the chair of the Local Safeguarding Children Board (LSCB) directly to account. However, this gap in meetings has had limited impact during what has been a busy time for the LSCB, as there have been numerous discussions between the LSCB chair, council leaders and officers regarding the publication of the recent serious case review, and the DCS and lead member regularly attends the LSCB. These activities have ensured effective scrutiny and oversight of the work of the LSCB and the chair.
102. The successful Health and Wellbeing Board is at the centre of the local authority's work with children, with its strategy providing the overarching framework for the children and young people plan (CYPP). This plan sets out four clear aims, priorities and actions, and gives direction to the Children Partnership Board in promoting and securing children's welfare. Although the Children Partnership Board could evidence its activity in a number of areas, it was not able to show readily the impact of its work or how this linked to the CYPP.

103. The vast majority of commissioning arrangements are robust and based on a joint strategic needs assessment (JSNA), which provides a detailed analysis of local needs. This has led to a clear commissioning strategy with seven priorities linked to the CYPP. Joint commissioning between Thurrock, Southend and Essex, alongside the seven Essex Clinical Commissioning Groups (CCGs), has been effective, for example with the recent commissioning of a children's and young people's emotional well-being and mental health service. In addition, examples of commissioned services within the early offer of help show these services to be making a real difference to the lives of children and families. Services such as those for alcohol and substance misuse, a domestic violence perpetrator programme and a sexual violence service are well evaluated, and contracts are regularly and robustly monitored. Monitoring arrangements consider how far services are meeting performance indicators and improving outcomes, whether they are achieving value for money and whether their work is preventing statutory involvement for children. Service user feedback about these services gives clear indications that individuals feel safer as a result of the help that they have received, and that they better understand the impact that their behaviours have on their children and young people.
104. While there is a good understanding of local needs, there is mismatch between the needs of the children looked after population and the availability of suitable placements to meet these needs. The sufficiency statement does not adequately address this gap and does not contain an updated action plan. This means that there are no set targets for the recruitment and retention of a specific number and type of foster carers to meet the needs of children looked after in Thurrock. Too many children are looked after in placements outside the borough (Recommendation).
105. With strong leadership, the corporate parenting committee is successfully driving improvements on a number of fronts, as evidenced by improved performance figures on immunisations, health assessments, dental checks and return home interviews, and better marketing and recruitment of foster carers. Not afraid to challenge senior managers and leaders, the committee has also listened to the Children in Care Council and is taking action on passports and savings accounts for children looked after. The committee has further work to do to ensure that members of the Children in Care Council feel valued for their contributions, and also to continue to improve timeliness of initial health assessments.
106. Councillors have a good understanding of children's social care and their corporate parenting role. However, this is limited due to the poor quality of strategic analysis of performance information. All 49 councillors in Thurrock

have successfully completed induction training to aid their understanding of children's social care, and 17 have attended corporate training courses. In addition, the lead member meets with the Children in Care Council, and elected members sit on the fostering and adoption panels. However, councillors do not ensure that they regularly engage with children and families to seek their views to inform service development. A recent report to the corporate parenting committee noted that opportunities for senior officers and councillors to attend meetings have not been used, which means that chances to hear children's views have been missed (Recommendation).

107. The overview and scrutiny committee is an effective group, which has increased its workload in order to consider a wide range of issues affecting children and families. The chair ensures that meetings consider a broad spectrum of reports and activities, while maintaining an open slot for the LSCB, Youth Cabinet or health services to bring any matters requiring immediate attention. The group is mindful of local authority spending and has sought evaluations of services and reasons for the development of particular packages of care. It has demonstrated its tenacity by repeatedly raising issues of concern arising from a serious case review.
108. Leaders, managers and elected members have a broad understanding of the service's strengths and weaknesses. Their self-assessment demonstrates a good understanding of the quality of their services to children and families. The local authority shows a willingness to assess its own performance and to bring in external agencies to advise or take on improvement work to augment its work. It has used independent scrutiny, such as a review of the MASH in 2015, and has recently commissioned an external provider to help it to strengthen its edge of care and early help services to seek to reduce the number of children becoming looked after. The local authority demonstrates that it can be swift in responding when change is identified. This was seen during the inspection in the effective response to cases requiring review and the response to a question from an inspector which led to the development of a leaflet for young people to explain the troubled families programme.
109. The local authority actively disseminates learning from serious case reviews, information about practice developments and national research through easy-to-read monthly blogs by the principal social worker. The local authority evidences learning from complaints, with clearly recorded learning logs which set out lessons learned and recommended actions. These have been effective and led to changes in practice, such as better arrangements for transporting children with disabilities, and identification of managers' actions to ensure that work is completed when staff are off sick. Children's and families' views and

feedback are not routinely sought, limiting opportunities for them to inform service development (Recommendation).

110. Despite a workforce development strategy, and a regular retention and recruitment board, the high number of agency staff in Thurrock leaves the local authority vulnerable to staff churn. Currently, half of the social workers employed in Thurrock are agency staff (49 of 98 registered social workers). Some children have experienced delay in progressing their assessments and plans as a result of changes in their social worker. The vacancy rate at the time of the inspection was 21%, which is a slight improvement from 25% at December 2015. Some teams such as the MASH and Children Family and Assessment Teams are predominantly staffed by agency workers, and the past nine appointments have been agency staff. Staff changes mean that teams lack cohesion, identity and resilience when challenges occur. Although this leaves the local authority potentially vulnerable, 22% of agency staff have been in post for over two years, and 51% between six months and one year, which does provide some stability. Conversely, staffing stability has improved within the longer-term teams, with the successful recruitment of a number of newly qualified social workers. Thurrock is taking action and working collaboratively with the 10 other local authorities in the eastern region in an attempt to limit the number of agency staff by managing pay rates, and terms and conditions. The impact of this measure is yet to be seen.
111. Caseloads for workers are increasing and are currently above comparators. They have risen from an average of 16 cases for a full-time worker in 2014 to 18 in 2015, and some social workers currently have caseloads of up to 28, which is too high. This will need further monitoring by the local authority, as it will not assist the recruitment of permanent staff.
112. The continued professional development of staff is actively encouraged, with clear pathways established from the assessed and supported year in employment (ASYE) social workers through to management level. This focus on staff development is a strength of the local authority. The ASYE academy is reported by staff as attractive to newly qualified social workers and, to date, is showing signs of success. An increasing number of newly qualified social workers are joining the local authority (19 this year and 12 last year). They receive relevant training through an increasingly effective academy, and they receive valued individual and group support. Successful use is being made of additional agency social work staff to ensure that caseloads for ASYEs remain low during their first year. Succession planning is being well considered, with the development of mentoring, senior practitioners as practice educators and the current development of an aspiring managers' scheme.

113. A comprehensive training programme is available to staff, and over the past 12 months 390 days' training have been presented across a range of relevant subjects. Figures are collated for the number of staff attending, but the impact of the training is not fully evaluated over time. Specific management training courses are available, such as a management essentials course with a focus on leadership style and priority setting. However, this area of training provision requires additional focus, as management oversight and supervision of staff remains too variable. Of 14 supervision files audited by inspectors, none were judged good, only four demonstrated reflective supervision and only one demonstrated follow up on previous actions. Despite the local authority's awareness of the variability of management oversight and the poor quality of supervision, it is not completing a regular audit of the frequency and quality of supervision. This leaves the local authority unclear about the performance of individual managers and the impact of management training (Recommendation).

The Local Safeguarding Children Board (LSCB)

The Local Safeguarding Children Board is good

Executive summary

The Local Safeguarding Children Board (LSCB) in Thurrock is effective and innovative, and has a clear understanding of the key safeguarding priorities across partner agencies. A renewal of governance and terms of reference in 2015 has brought helpful clarity and demonstrates continued progress, following a review of the board in 2013. There is a clear collective ownership of safeguarding across all partners, who are positively engaged in action and reflection to support children, young people and their families. The board is chaired well by an influential chair who both supports and challenges partners, and accountability is high. Strong and efficient support is offered by a committed business team. Partners report clear and collective responsibility, and a high degree of challenge, scrutiny and accountability. This was described by one board member as 'good transparency and honesty'.

Child sexual exploitation, female genital mutilation and 'Prevent' duty have a high profile, with key leads from relevant agencies working effectively with the LSCB. Elements of the work of the LSCB, such as the 'Walk on line' roadshows, are outstanding, ensuring that over 10,000 schoolchildren will have received interactive safeguarding workshops of a high quality. The children most at risk of going missing, sexual exploitation, gang involvement and online exploitation are given comprehensive multi-agency consideration. The effective risk assessment group (RAG) demonstrates added safeguarding value. Capitalising on the high degree of multi-agency commitment, it leads case discussion using live access to a range of databases and expertise.

The board considers the range of experiences for children, young people and their families. It has influenced the development of the MASH, the use of appropriate categories for child protection plans and deep-dive audits. These have evaluated the experiences of the most vulnerable, including those who have been on a child protection plan for more than 12 months and children looked after. The chair has challenged MARAC about the lack of a MARAC report to the LSCB for the past two years. This limits the board's ability to monitor the work of this critical multi-agency safeguarding group effectively. The quality of the audits undertaken individually is high, but they lack overarching analysis. The take-up of multi-agency training offered is good, and participants and partners speak positively about the benefits. More analysis is required to enable full understanding of the impact of the training offer.

Recommendations

114. To improve further the strategic learning available from the multi-agency audits through overarching evaluation and analysis of outcomes and impact.
115. To undertake a comprehensive evaluation of the training provided in order to demonstrate the impact on frontline practice.

Inspection findings – the Local Safeguarding Children Board

116. The LSCB in Thurrock meets its statutory requirements well. Governance arrangements were refreshed in 2015, leading to new terms of reference which provide a strong framework for the work of the board. This is also supported by a helpful protocol between the Health and Wellbeing Board, and both the children and adult safeguarding boards.
117. The LSCB works closely with other relevant boards and panels, and has ensured that it has access, either as a member or through an open offer of attendance, at the appropriate groups in order to influence planning. This includes either the LSCB chair or business manager sitting on the Health and Wellbeing Board and the separate health and well-being strategic group, and the community safety partnership group where the 'Prevent' duty is considered, the Children Partnership Board and the early offer of help, MASH and troubled families groups. The LSCB business team apprentice sits on the Youth Cabinet. This enables fully integrated planning and detailed links back to the LSCB executive group and the LSCB full board. A positive action that came from the Children Partnership Board ensured that each school was provided with a height and weight profile to address childhood obesity.
118. The board is able to prioritise and challenge effectively, according to local need, and in the last 12 months has shown impact through increasing the police attendance at child protection conferences. Between April and November 2015, police attended 18 out of 87 initial case conferences. At the time of this inspection, this had increased to attendance at 96% from April 2015 for all conferences. Other recent challenging conversations have focused on the need for equity of funding and an overall increase in funding to manage the increase in serious case reviews (SCRs), oversight of the development of the child sexual exploitation local strategy and action plan, and ensuring the board's proactive involvement in the development and analysis of the MASH. There is a clear business plan for the work of the board, and an action plan appropriately

reflects key local priorities, including missing children, protection from abuse and exploitation, and the early offer of help.

119. Challenge within the board is strong, with agencies held to account through the main board, and the chair demonstrating a strong and assertive style. Partners are challenged collectively through themed discussions at the full board, for example each agency's performance relating to listening to the voice of the child, and the impact of funding constraints and restructuring in individual organisations. This was particularly helpful in enabling probation to explain the transformation of their agency. The police identified a gap in following up with comprehensive victim support in the discussion about the voice of the child.
120. There is a clear challenge to make improvements, if needed, in individual agencies, and the chair demonstrates a strong challenge to the performance of partners. One partner agency described this as 'open, honest. We can fight, disagree and still be okay with each other'. There have been recent challenges to the police, for example, on the speed of their response to actions required by agency-specific inspections. The chair has also challenged when there has been poor attendance at subgroups and the lack of a report from MARAC for the past two years. This has still not been produced. The board challenged children's social care on the low use of sexual abuse as a category in child protection plans and on whether this fitted the anecdotal knowledge of risks to adolescents. This has resulted in an increase in the age profile of child protection plans to safeguard those at risk of child sexual exploitation. The number of 10 to 15 year olds on a child protection plan has risen from 24% to 31%. At the time of the inspection, 12 children (5%) had an open plan for sexual abuse. Numbers were previously suppressed, due to the low figure.
121. The work of the board is supported by the work of the management executive group, where the detail of operational issues and concerns is appropriately considered. As an example, the November 2015 meeting considered the decrease in private fostering figures, the multi-agency review of child sexual exploitation, a report from the youth offending service, risks of legal highs and the planning for feedback from the new child protection level 3 pilot training programme.
122. The performance board subgroup started in March 2015, enabling each partner agency to attend and present data on the safeguarding work of their agency. This is scrutinised by other board members, including the LSCB chair. This has provided in-depth information on the broad range of functions of each agency. This has led to peer challenge and an opportunity for detailed questioning, including, for example the caseloads of midwives, and training on child sexual

exploitation and female genital mutilation for fire, rescue and ambulance services. Board members spoke highly of the learning afforded by the performance board, the impact on understanding partner functions and the rigour of challenge.

123. Section 11 assessments are undertaken annually, and the board flexibly accepts the different formats used by agencies in acknowledgement that many agencies report to three LSCBs within the pan-Essex arrangements. Compliance is high, with very good progress made at the time of this inspection for the end-of-year submission. The board maximises the potential from the returns, and recently (spring term 2016) added a 'Prevent' audit to the school returns. This has enabled specific data to be extracted and used to inform the 'Prevent' duty action plan. A gap in training for school governors was identified and is now being met, with some training having already taken place and more planned.
124. The board has developed a helpful learning and improvement framework with statutory partners. The LSCB carefully considers whether a SCR is required, and demonstrates a strong commitment to learn and improve practice. Over the past three years, this has resulted in the board completing two SCRs, and it is currently in the process of completing a further three. Correct decisions have been made to explore learning through the rigorous SCR journey, resulting in added value to the understanding of safeguarding practice.
125. The SCR subgroup is an effective group and has appropriately checked with the national panel to reach decisions to proceed when there has been a split decision among the subgroup members. The SCRs are undertaken thoroughly and published on the website, and learning is disseminated widely. All of the SCRs and the one individual management review showed appropriate learning and led to a reconsideration of risk. For example, the adolescent neglect tool came from this learning, as did the reconsideration of child sexual exploitation as an increased area for a child protection plan for adolescents. It is clear from this inspection that support to adolescents from the local authority has improved. The majority of social workers are aware of the key issues from the most recent published SCRs. The board also produces excellent summary booklets to maximise learning, with a well-presented high-quality product.
126. If a decision is made that a SCR is not required, but that there are lessons for a single agency, then the board undertakes a management review. One such review has appropriately been undertaken and published in relation to fabricated illness, showing openness in the learning for health partners. All action plans are thoroughly followed up through an action matrix, and the chair presented one SCR to the local authority's overview and scrutiny committee.

127. The financial position of the board has been stretched, with challenges to ensure an equitable contribution from one pan-Essex partner and, additionally, to meet the need for SCRs. The number of SCRs has put added pressure on time and resources. The majority of partner agencies make a proportionate financial contribution overall, and the chair requested a review with one agency when this was not the case, and a constructive solution was found. All agencies have agreed to add finance to the board to meet the demands of the SCRs.
128. All partner agencies are committed to the board, and contribute time and resources to ensure that it functions effectively. The chair is very clear with partners that board business is part of everyone's work. Suitably senior and influential partner representatives attend the board, and are able to take back lessons and challenges to their individual agencies. This has been challenged by the chair previously to ensure that appropriate membership is now in place. Subgroups are chaired across a range of partner agencies, reflecting the collective ownership of the work of the board.
129. The LSCB in Thurrock benefits from being part of the Southend, Essex and Thurrock (SET) shared arrangements, including shared policies and procedures updated and available on the Thurrock LSCB website. Policies and procedures are clear, accessible and easy to understand. Shared resources offer an economy of scale between the three LSCBs as shown through the recent 'I didn't know' child sexual exploitation awareness campaign and sharing of local expertise, including the strategic SET child sexual exploitation group and a strategic SET child death review overview panel (CDOP) group. Child death overview arrangements are effective, and there are strong rapid response protocols in place. The number of notifications in Thurrock have remained consistent over the last three years (10 in 2013–2014, nine in 2014–2015 and nine in the year to date).
130. The Southend, Essex and Thurrock group enables collective pan-Essex learning to be analysed for appropriately focused awareness-raising campaigns. These have included safer sleeping, furniture safety and water safety. The current pan-Essex focus is on suicide prevention, with relevant planning underway using specialist mental health practitioners. There is a very strong commitment and attention to detail in the work undertaken by CDOP. For example, the safer sleeping campaign is launched every holiday with helpful information sent to holiday parks, where usual sleeping arrangements will be different. This is a highly efficient pan-Essex service with a strong commitment to prevention, understanding and to support for families.

131. Local understanding of child sexual exploitation in Thurrock is considered through the developing multi-agency sexual exploitation group (MASE). This subgroup has equipped itself for its task through exploration of the Ofsted child sexual exploitation thematic study and is ambitious to understand the local landscape more fully. This has included, for example, challenging each agency to identify its 10 most vulnerable children or young people, an exercise which revealed a gap in health data. It has also identified the five boys most at risk of child sexual exploitation.
132. The comprehensive child sexual exploitation strategy and action plan have been developed, and these are rigorously overseen through the work of the LSCB. The strategy has been tested during 2015 through both internal and peer review, which showed good steady progress, and the board has further developed the child sexual exploitation action plan in response. Further work will be undertaken, including the prosecution of perpetrators and increased awareness raising. The 'I didn't know' child sexual exploitation awareness-raising campaign was launched during this inspection, and the board works closely with the police. The MASE group has a good understanding of what more needs to be done and this is informed by the detail of the experiences of children through the risk assessment group (RAG). The plan for data analysis will address the need for a more sophisticated 'heat map' of local risks.
133. The RAG established in March 2015 has brought together a number of separate panels that looked at risk (child sexual exploitation, online exploitation and missing children). This effective group has offered a real-time live multi-agency discussion for the most vulnerable children, with immediate access to the range of different agency databases. This approach has enabled a more sophisticated understanding to emerge of the complex overlap between different types of risk. This has resulted, for example, in coordinated intervention when children are referred as being at risk, and also then found to be a risk to others. It has started to reveal more detail on the prevalence of underlying risk, such as children going missing and then found to be affected by gang involvement. A clear action matrix ensures that all actions for each child are followed up effectively. The RAG is chaired by the local authority and is an operational service, sitting under the auspices of the LSCB. This unusual positioning allows the broadest opportunity for referrals from all partners, and harnesses all knowledge and investment from partner agencies in Thurrock to consider and safeguard children. It is working well, with evidence of reduced risk for children who are particularly vulnerable. However, it does not currently consider information from return home interviews.

134. The quality of the multi-agency audit work undertaken is highly detailed, resulting in clear action plans that are effectively followed up. These audits consider a broad range of relevant safeguarding issues across the partnership. These include audits regarding children and young people who have been on a child protection plan for more than 12 months and attendance at child protection conferences. Issues considered demonstrate that the board is aware of key issues across the local authority, and the findings from the audits regarding areas for development are reflective of some of the findings from this inspection, for example management oversight and life story work. Despite good-quality detailed work, the audit subgroup is without a consistent chair and attendance has not been strong. In its role of overseeing the multi-agency audit programme in Thurrock, it has analysed and disseminated learning from the audit programme through a recent learning and improvement booklet, but requires regular multi-agency attendance to ensure a sustained higher degree of overarching analysis and evaluation (Recommendation).
135. The LSCB values the views and contributions of children, and ensures that their views and experiences influence the work of the board. The board is creative and innovative in how it does this, including holding a 'voice of the child' conference in 2013–14 and ensuring that children actively participated in the board's conference in 2014–15. The board, working jointly with the Community Safety Partnership, has recruited 12 safeguarding ambassadors aged 13 to 16, including a hate crime and a youth crime ambassador through the Youth Cabinet.
136. To gauge understanding and risk to children online, the LSCB started a series of roadshows called 'Walk on line' in 2014. These covered the broadest range of risks to children and young people, including child sexual exploitation, grooming, sexting, going missing, cyber-bullying, female genital mutilation and 'Prevent' from a child's perspective. Initially offered to Years 5 and 6 pupils, they were extended to Years 10 and 11 pupils at the request of the Youth Cabinet. 10,000 children and young people have attended, and impact has been shown through changed behaviours such as amending privacy settings, as measured through anonymous child-friendly questionnaires. 'Walk on line' demonstrates a creative and comprehensive understanding of risks to children and young people. This outstanding piece of practice demonstrates a strong partnership approach between the LSCB, education, parents and the specialist police knowledge by the child exploitation online service.
137. A good range of training is offered, and this is valued by partner agencies, with 117 agencies currently participating in the training programme. The LSCB has engaged well with non-statutory partners, including faith groups, and meets

regularly as a member of Thurrock Faith Forum. It has good relationships with local voluntary organisations that access training through the board and are represented on subgroups of the board. Each course is evaluated by the individual participant, and the learning and development subgroup evaluates the effectiveness of specific training through feedback forms. Training is also observed to evaluate its effectiveness directly. Partners are held to account for both their attendance at multi-agency training and the training that each agency offers on safeguarding, but there is no overall evaluation of the impact of the full training offer. This means that the board cannot assure itself that the training offer is having sufficient impact on frontline practice (Recommendation).

138. The LSCB produces a clear annual report that demonstrates a comprehensive understanding of the strengths and achievements of the board, together with work still to be done. Strengths include improved engagement of all types of educational establishments, close partnership work with the Youth Cabinet and the overall steady progress made since the review of the board in 2013. Evidence of impact includes the monitoring of child protection plans and the challenge to increase consideration of sexual abuse, which had been an area for improvement. All agencies contribute their own end-of-year evaluation, including data, to the annual report so that their voices are heard, and they are held to account for the difference that they have made.

Information about this inspection

Inspectors have looked closely at the experiences of children and young people who have needed or still need help and/or protection. This also includes children and young people who are looked after and young people who are leaving care and starting their lives as young adults.

Inspectors considered the quality of work and the difference that adults make to the lives of children, young people and families. They read case files, watched how professional staff work with families and each other and discussed the effectiveness of help and care given to children and young people. Wherever possible, they talked to children, young people and their families. In addition, the inspectors have tried to understand what the local authority knows about how well it is performing, how well it is doing and what difference it is making for the people whom it is trying to help, protect and look after.

The inspection of the local authority was carried out under section 136 of the Education and Inspections Act 2006.

The review of the Local Safeguarding Children Board was carried out under section 15A of the Children Act 2004.

Ofsted produces this report of the inspection of local authority functions and the review of the Local Safeguarding Children Board under its power to combine reports in accordance with section 152 of the Education and Inspections Act 2006.

The inspection team consisted of eight of Her Majesty's Inspectors (HMI) from Ofsted.

The inspection team

Lead inspector: Jansy Kelly

Deputy lead inspector: Karen Wareing

Team inspectors: Julie Knight, Nigel Parkes, Margaret Burke, Louise Hocking, Peter Green, Wendy Ratcliff

Senior data analyst: Judith Swindell

Shadow senior data analyst: Alison Edwards

Quality assurance manager: John Mitchell

Any complaints about the inspection or the report should be made following the procedures set out in the guidance 'Raising concerns and making complaints about Ofsted', which is available from Ofsted's website: www.gov.uk/government/publications/complaints-about-ofsted. If you would like Ofsted to send you a copy of the guidance, please telephone 0300 123 4234, or email enquiries@ofsted.gov.uk.

The Office for Standards in Education, Children's Services and Skills (Ofsted) regulates and inspects to achieve excellence in the care of children and young people, and in education and skills for learners of all ages. It regulates and inspects childcare and children's social care, and inspects the Children and Family Court Advisory and Support Service (Cafcass), schools, colleges, initial teacher training, further education and skills, adult and community learning, and education and training in prisons and other secure establishments. It assesses council children's services, and inspects services for looked after children, safeguarding and child protection.

If you would like a copy of this document in a different format, such as large print or Braille, please telephone 0300 123 1231, or email enquiries@ofsted.gov.uk.

You may reuse this information (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence. To view this licence, visit www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence, write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or email: psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk. This publication is available at www.gov.uk/government/organisations/ofsted.

Interested in our work? You can subscribe to our monthly newsletter for more information and updates: <http://eepurl.com/iTrDn>.

Piccadilly Gate
Store Street
Manchester
M1 2WD

T: 0300 123 4234
Textphone: 0161 618 8524
E: enquiries@ofsted.gov.uk
W: www.ofsted.gov.uk
© Crown copyright 2016

This page is intentionally left blank

Please enter name here

Name of Reviewer

Please enter date here

Date of Review

No.	Recommendation	Assigned Lead - Job Title/Name	Score - please select	Direction of Travel compared to last review - please select	Description of Action(s) - How	Owner(s) - Who	By When (date)
1	Ensure that accurate performance data is analysed and that this leads to specific actions for improvement	Iqbal Vaza, Strategic Lead I Performance, Quality & Business Support I HR,OD & Transformation	3	Maintained	A) Develop and implement new performance digest, with clear metrics & analytics. B) Implement new 'Improvements Board' to be chaired by the DCS and underpinned by metrics & analytics within new digest. C) Review structure of Data and Performance Team to maximise effectiveness.	Director of Children's Services	Aug-16
2	Strengthen oversight, coordination and quality assurance of early help services to ensure that children and families are receiving the right support at the right time	Clare Moore, Acting Strategic Lead- Disabled Children, Family Group Conferencing, Emergency Duty Team and Early Offer of Help.	2	Improving	A) Complete service (demand management) review with iMPower. B) Agree improvement plan and service restructure to maximise the co-ordination and effectiveness of the Early Offer of Help. C) Re-engage partners in the provision of help to the right families at the right time based on a clear continuum of need.	Head of Children's Social Care	June - Dec 16
3	Ensure that assessments and plans for children are of a consistently high quality	Cherrylyn Senior, Principle Social Worker & Joe Tynan, Service Manager, MASH & CFAT	3	Maintained	A) Complete planned implementation of Signs of Safety. B) Scope the introduction of volunteers within the assessment service to strengthen direct intervention with families during assessments. C) Scope with iMPower a reduction in the number of assessments undertaken (specifically those that lead to NFA), to reduce quantity and increase quality.	Head of Children's Social Care	June - Dec 16
4	Improve the offer of return home interviews to children and young people who have been missing from home or care to increase take-up of these interviews	Paul Coke, Service Manager, Children Looked After & Neale Laurie, Service Manager, Safeguarding and Child Protection	2	Improving	A) Weekly monitoring of children who go missing from home and care, and the referral and take up rate of return home interviews. B) Monthly monitoring of referral rates for looked after children to ensure that this increases from 80% - 100%. C) Improved contract monitoring to require pro-active engagement of young people by provider.	Head of Children's Social Care	Jun-16
5	Ensure that more children are supported to participate in, and contribute to, their meetings, conferences and reviews, that they and their parents have access to reports beforehand, and that meeting minutes are circulated promptly	Neale Laurie, Service Manager, Safeguarding and Child Protection	3	Maintained	A) Monitoring systems in place for all Child Protection Conference and Review minutes. B) Scoping exercise to be undertaken re: how best to increase participation drawing on good practice models. C) Advocacy and support services to be reviewed to ensure that these are promoting activity engagement and participation / challenging poor practice.	Head of Children's Social Care	Nov' 2016
6	Ensure that robust arrangements are in place to reduce the need for children and young people to become looked after in an emergency	Joe Tynan, Service Manager, MASH & CFAT and Teresa Gallagher, Service Manager, Family Support.	3	Maintained	A) Review the patterns and numbers of children coming into care with iMPower. B) Strengthen preventative and support services to avoid accommodation or delay accommodation, so that this is planned. C) Continue to strengthen role of Threshold Panel in managing accommodations	Head of Children's Social Care	Sept 16 / ongoing
7	Ensure that the recruitment of foster carers is appropriately targeted to better meet the current and future demand for foster placements and reduce the number of children looked after who have to be placed out of the borough	Andrews Osei, Service Manager, Fostering, Adoption and Placements	3	Improving	A) Sophisticated targets are now in place for the recruitment of foster carers inline with current and predicted demand. The translations of these targets into sustainable outcomes remains challenging, particularly in relation to the recruitment of carers within the borough.	Head of Children's Social Care	June' 16
8	Ensure that personal education plans are of a consistently high standard & that the virtual school effectively monitors and analyses the progress of all children looked after, including those who attend schools outside of Thurrock	Keeley Pullen, Head of the Virtual School	3	Maintained	A) Establish a governing body to monitor, drive and improve all aspects of the work of the virtual school. B) Corporate Parenting Committee and Children's Overview and Scrutiny to continue to monitor and challenge the academic progress and outcomes for looked after children.	Roger Edwardson, Interim Strategic Lead, School Improvement, Learning and Skills	Sep-16
9	Ensure that managers oversee and effectively drive forward permanence plans for children	Paul Coke, Service Manager, Children Looked After & Andrews Osei, Service Manager, Fostering, Adoption and Placements	2	Improving	A) Embed partnership working with Coram and strengthen early permanency with a pro-active offer of concurrency and foster to adopt. B) Maintain and increase reduction in number of days between court authorisation to place for adoption and placement for adoption. C) Continue to target with Coram, through effective permanency planning, a significant reduction in the number of days between a child becoming looked after and placement for adoption - to bring this below the England average.	Head of Children's Social Care	May 16 - March 17
10	Develop post-adoption support arrangements to ensure that all children and families who are eligible have access to an appropriate service	Andrews Osei, Service Manager, Fostering, Adoption and Placements	3	Maintained	Develop a new delivery model for post adoption support with Coram.	Head of Children's Social Care	Oct-16
11	Ensure that an effective Staying Put policy makes it possible for more young people to live with their former foster carers beyond the age of 18 years	Paul Coke, Service Manager, Children Looked After & Andrews Osei, Service Manager, Fostering, Adoption and Placements	3	Maintained	A) Update and improve current Staying Put policy in consultation with Thurrock Foster Carers and IFA providers. B) Actively promote Staying Put as an option for all fostered young people. C) Monitor and review the number of young people who are Staying Put to identify blocks and address these. D) Work in partnership with Eastern Region partners to better improve the local and regional offer.	Head of Children's Social Care	June - Sept 16
12	Ensure that pathway assessments and plans are developed to engage care leavers effectively and that care leavers benefit from regular reviews	Paul Coke, Service Manager, Children Looked After	3	Maintained	A) Redesign the current Pathway Plan with care leavers and the CICC (update on previous re-design), to make it as simple and user friendly as possible. B) Establish Senior Practitioner post currently within the Aftercare Team to continue to lead on the review of pathway plans and track timeliness within revised performance digest.	Head of Children's Social Care	Sept 16 & June 16

No.	Recommendation	Assigned Lead - Job Title/Name	Score - please select	Direction of Travel compared to last review - please select	Description of Action(s) - How	Owner(s) - Who	By When (date)
13	Ensure that care leavers are effectively supported to gain independence skills, including through the setting of aspirational targets to help them to achieve educational and employment goals	Paul Coke, Service Manager, Children Looked After	2	Improving	A) Develop an effective group work model of independence training / support for carer leavers and complement current 1:1 work. B) Continue to increase the number of care leavers who are EET (62%) and exceed aspirational target of 70% EET.	Head of Children's Social Care	August 16 & March 2017
14	Secure a more stable workforce to ensure that children are able to build enduring relationships with social workers and to enable the local authority to drive through improvement to services, such as increasing early planning for permanence for children that starts at the front door	Andrew Carter, Head of Children's Social Care	3	Maintained	A) Continue to drive effective retention and recruitment through the Retention and Recruitment Board, chaired by the DCS. B) Expand on programme to 'grow our own' staff through the ASYE Academy and the Aspiring Managers programme. C) Continue to manage the use of agency staff within the Eastern Region, MoC & work with IMPOWER.	Director of Children's Services	Ongoing
15	Ensure and demonstrate that children's and families' views and feedback are used to demonstrably shape service developments	Cherrylyn Senior, Principle Social Worker	3	Maintained	A) Strengthen participation work stream to ensure that this is producing clear outcomes that are monitored and evaluated at the 'Improvements Board'. B) Corporate Parenting Board and Children's Overview and Scrutiny to be encouraged to set clear targets for evidence of improvements / service developments that have been based on user feedback, consultation and or co-production.	Head of Children's Social Care	Nov' 2016
16	Regularly audit supervision files to ensure that frequency and quality are resulting in improved practice	Cherrylyn Senior, Principle Social Worker	3	Maintained	A regular cycle of auditing has been put in place. Progress to be monitored at Improvements Board and proposed annual report to Children's Overview and Scrutiny.	Head of Children's Social Care	Ongoing & TBC

Once you have completed this sheet, please review scoresheet - next 'Tab'

Sheet Complete

**Corporate Parenting Committee
Work Programme
2016/17**

Dates of Meetings: 5 July 2016, 4 October 2016, 10 January 2017, 9 March 2017

Topic	Lead Officer	Requested by Officer/Member
5 July 2016		
Placement Updates of Care Packages	Paul Coke / Andrew Carter	Member
Passports and Bank Accounts held by Looked After Children	Paul Coke	Member
Health of Looked After Children *	*	Member
Ofsted Report	Andrew Carter	Member
4 October 2016		
Placement Updates of Care Packages	Paul Coke / Andrew Carter	Member
Annual Report for Independent Review Officers	Neale Laurie	Member
Recent External Placement Survey with Children in Care	Natalie Carter	Member
Annual Complaints Report	Andrew Carter	Member
10 January 2017		
Placement Updates of Care Packages	Paul Coke / Andrew Carter	Member
Emotional Wellbeing and Mental Health Service	Sue Green	Member
Care Leavers in Employment	Michelle Lucas	Member

Updated: May 2016

Educational Attainments of Looked After Children and Care Leavers **		Member
9 March 2017		
Placement Updates of Care Packages	Paul Coke / Andrew Carter	Member
Update on Ofsted Action Plan	Andrew Carter	Member
The Children In Care Pledge	Paul Coke / Natalie Carter	Member